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Abstract

Triple negative breast cancers (TNBC) comprise a highly aggressive cancer subtype with high mortality rates, high frequency of metastatic disease and a lack of targeted therapies. A fraction of these cancers also demonstrate amplification of the \textit{NTRK1} gene. The protein product of the \textit{NTRK1} gene, TrkA, is a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) that recognizes neuronal growth factor (NGF) leading to downstream signaling through MAPK and other pathways that promote survival and proliferation. Pan-Trk inhibitors have been developed against rare cancers with \textit{NTRK} translocations that result in constitutive Trk kinase activity. Expansion of these inhibitors' application to amplifications, particularly in TNBC, offers the potential for targeted therapies. Here, we engineered non-tumorigenic immortalized human mammary epithelial cell lines and human breast cancer cell lines to overexpress TrkA. Overexpressing clones demonstrated cancerous and pro-metastatic phenotypes, which were reversed upon exposure to the Trk inhibitor larotrectinib. \textit{In vitro}, the MCF10A and hTERT-IMEC engineered cell lines showed growth factor independence, increased downstream proliferative and pro-survival signaling, alterations in three-dimensional culture, and migratory phenotypes in increased wound healing and microchannel migration. \textit{In vivo}, TrkA-overexpressing MCF7 cells
showed increased tumor growth and acquired the capacity to establish disseminated disease. Our results demonstrate the potential clinical utility of larotrectinib for targeting NTRK1 amplified breast cancers and inhibiting metastasis.

**Thesis Advisor:** Ben Ho Park, M.D., Ph.D.

**Thesis Readers:** Ben Ho Park, M.D., Ph.D.

Ie-Ming Shih, M.D., Ph.D.
Acknowledgements

This dissertation would not have been completed without the critical support of many, many people – to each of you, and many more, I owe my sincerest thanks.

To Ben, who has built a lab that values relationships and play as critical to research and innovation, and who takes the time to teach as well as lead. Ben’s encouragement of mentoring other labmates, and interrogative teaching style, has developed in me an independent intellect and a drive to discover more.

To my lab colleagues, and their willingness to share knowledge and experimental techniques, as well as snacks.

To my dance family, who balance out all the science and lighten my heart, and have given me the freedom to get comfortable with creativity and a gateway to engage with the incredible talent of Baltimore’s youth.

To my mom, who was the first to teach me that women lead, whether in rock climbing and paddling or in science. I hope that I have done her proud, and it is her memory that drives me to this work. Her embodiment of joy and insistence on the pleasure of working hard seeded in me early and has provided the foundation for getting through graduate school.
To my dad. My dad has been my best editor and most talented model for writing well since my early forays into published literature (“Zippy’s Big Adventure”, a harrowing tale of pet bunny bravery). He listens avidly to all of the detailed steps of investigating a scientific system, and is always available for unparalleled pep talks when it gets frustrating. He is my highest cheerleader and my most important support. I don’t know anyone else who would have read and reread this dissertation for grammar and formatting, and I am grateful for that and so much more.

To my sister, Emily. Em challenges me intellectually and keeps me grounded in the roots of what it means to have the woods of Massachusetts as home. She reminds me that if we can survive winter camping and build a house from the ground up, then a research career is no insurmountable challenge. Her curiosity about my research systems and her brilliance about hers encourages me to read more broadly and learn more deeply in order to teach more thoroughly. She inspires me often.

To my family, who have believed in my brilliance without ever faltering since before I could talk. They nourish me daily with their energy, their love, and their joy.
Table of Contents

Preface

Title.........................................................................................................................i
Abstract..................................................................................................................ii
Acknowledgements...............................................................................................iv
Table of Contents.................................................................................................vi
List of Tables..........................................................................................................vii
List of Figures.........................................................................................................viii

Chapters

Chapter 1: Introduction..........................................................................................1
Chapter 2: Materials and Methods.........................................................................7
Chapter 3: Results....................................................................................................17
Chapter 4: Discussion..............................................................................................68

References..............................................................................................................72

Curriculum Vitae....................................................................................................81
List of Tables

Table 1: Cell lines used in this study.................................................................28

Table 2: Primers used in this study.................................................................29

Table 3: Frequency of NTRK1 amplification in breast cancers via cBioPortal.....30

Table 4: Genes upregulated in TrkA-overexpressors by microarray.................31

Table 5: Genes downregulated in TrkA-overexpressors by microarray..............32
List of Figures

Figure 1: Experimental design ................................................................. 33

Figure 2: TrkA modifications in breast cancer, TCGA ............................. 34

Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier curve for TCGA data, breast cancer, NTRK1 ........  35

Figure 4: Growth factor independence crystal violet in MCF10A cell lines .. 36

Figure 5: Growth factor independence in hTERT-IMEC cell lines ............... 37

Figure 6: Proliferation in MCF10A cell lines with NGF ......................... 38

Figure 7: Proliferation in MCF10A cell lines with 0.2ng/mL EGF, NGF .......... 39

Figure 8: Proliferation in MCF10A cell lines with laroctentinib, NGF .......... 40

Figure 9: Proliferation in hTERT-IMEC cell lines with NGF .................... 41

Figure 10: Proliferation in hTERT-IMEC cell lines with laroctentinib, NGF ... 42

Figure 11: Immunohistochemistry for TrkA of cell lines ......................... 43

Figure 12: Immunoblotting of MCF10A cell lines with NGF .................... 44

Figure 13: Immunoblotting of MCF10A cell lines with 0.2ng/mL EGF, NGF .. 45

Figure 14: Immunoblotting of MCF10A cell lines with laroctentinib, NGF .... 46

Figure 15: Immunoblotting of hTERT-IMEC cell lines with NGF ............... 47

Figure 16: Immunoblotting of hTERT-IMEC cell lines with laroctentinib, NGF .48

Figure 17: MCF10A-derived clone morphology in 3D culture .................. 49

Figure 18: hTERT-IMEC-derived clone morphology in 3D culture .......... 50
Figure 19: MCF10A-derived clone anchorage-independent growth

Figure 20: hTERT-IMEC-derived clone anchorage-independent growth

Figure 21: Wound healing assay for MCF10A-derived clones

Figure 22: Wound healing assay for hTERT-IMEC-derived clones

Figure 23: Representative wound healing assay images MCF10A clones

Figure 24: Microchannel migration assay speed results

Figure 25: Microchannel migration assay persistence results

Figure 26: Microchannel migration assay velocity results

Figure 27: Proliferation advantage recapitulated in CD74-NTRK1 model

Figure 28: Immunohistochemistry of CD74-NTRK1 fusion model

Figure 29: Immunoblotting of CD74-NTRK1 fusion model

Figure 30: CD74-NTRK1 fusion morphogenesis in 3D culture

Figure 31: Wound healing advantage recapitulated in CD74-NTRK1 model

Figure 32: TrkA overexpression confers insulin independence in MCF10A

Figure 33: Xenograft assay results, solid tumors

Figure 34: Representative images of lungs, empty vector MCF7, tail vein

Figure 35: Representative images of lungs, TrkA-overexpressing MCF7
Breast cancer background

Breast cancer represents one of the leading causes of cancer mortality among women globally, with 1.2 million cases worldwide and 540,000 new diagnoses annually, causing 500,000 deaths (1). Triple negative breast cancers (TNBC), which do not express ER (estrogen receptor-alpha), PR (progesterone receptor), or targetable levels of HER2 (human epidermal growth factor 2) represent a particular challenge as a highly aggressive form of breast cancer with high rates of recurrence, metastasis and mortality (2). TNBC generally have poor outcomes and an aggressive disease course with options for therapy limited to surgical intervention, radiation and cytotoxic chemotherapies. Unlike breast cancers expressing ER/PR and/or overexpressing HER2, these cancers are
generally not candidates for treatment with targeted therapies such as trastuzumab and hormonal therapies (2-4). In spite of robust response to first line surgical and chemotherapeutic treatment, TNBC frequently recur and metastasize. After the establishment of treatment-resistant tumor populations, patients are left with few options, and no targeted therapies are currently available. TNBC is particularly common in patients with germline mutations in the \textit{BRCA1} gene, and TNBC also have a high rate of somatic \textit{TP53} mutations (5, 6).

Cancer formation is generally understood to result from the accumulation of somatic mutations which in combination produce a proliferative, replicatively immortal, cell death resistant tumor cell population which can evade growth suppression and the immune system, promote angiogenesis, and invade and metastasize. The genomic instability underlying the progression to these characteristics also results in a multitude of mutations in many cancer cells. Tumors accumulate mutations which independently promote tumorigenesis, termed driver mutations, and those which do not, termed passenger mutations (7). Driver mutations are further divided into oncogenes, which provide a growth advantage by their amplification or overexpression, and tumor suppressors, which promote tumorigenesis by their absence through suppression or loss of function mutation. Although driver mutations represent the most
promising targets for the development of targeted therapies, passenger mutations may equally find research utility as targets or detectable markers of tumor burden (7). TrkA amplification, the subject of this research, is a putative driver mutation in cancer (1).

TrkA background and function

TrkA translocations

TrkA was first identified in the cancer context as the unknown protein kinase component of an oncogenic fusion protein in two colon cancer patients in 1982 (1). This translocation, producing transformation in NIH-3T3 cells, was later identified by cloning and sequencing as a fusion between tropomyosin and TrkA. Oncogenic gene fusions incorporating the 3' tyrosine kinase region of TrkA remain the best characterized TrkA alterations in cancer, arising at a low to moderate level among a range of cancer types and resulting in ligand-independent, constitutively activated proliferation and survival pathways. Oncogenic rearrangements of \(NTRK1\) have been identified by targeted next generation sequencing (NGS) in a number of cancers, resulting in constitutive activation and downstream signaling (1, 8). The incidence of such translocations motivated the development of pan-Trk inhibitors, such as larotrectinib. Pan-Trk
inhibitors have shown promising early results in clinical trials (9, 10) as targeted therapies.

TrkA Amplifications

TrkA amplifications or copy number increase arise in a variety of cancers, reported at rates up to 22% in breast cancers according to cBioPortal (Figure 2, Table 3). Although a relatively small percentage, this represents tens of thousands of newly diagnosed patients each year in the United States alone. In TCGA data from 2015, TrkA alterations comprised primarily of amplifications correlated to a 40 month survival disadvantage (Figure 3). In addition, research has demonstrated that genetic alterations in a small percentage of cancers can lead to dramatically improved outcomes with appropriate targeted therapies, for example, EGFR mutations in non-small cell lung cancers (11). When interrogated specifically for breast cancers lacking HER2, ER, and PR expression (TNBC), rates of TrkA amplification were as high as 22% in some studies (Table 3). The mechanism of these pan-Trk inhibitors targets the kinase function of the Trk molecules by blocking the ATP binding site, and would therefore be expected to also inhibit Trk-amplified cancers.
TrkA gene and protein structure

Tropomyosin receptor kinase A (TrkA), encoded by NTRK1 is the membrane-bound receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) that serves as a high affinity receptor for the neuronal growth factor (NGF) (12). NTRK1 is located on chromosome 1q23.1 and contains 16 exons, all coding. The predominant transcript variant encodes a 2492 base pair mRNA which is translated to an 87.5 kDa membrane-bound high affinity receptor comprised of 796 amino acids. TrkA is a member of the tropomyosin kinase receptor family, which also includes TrkB and TrkC, encoded by NTRK2 and NTRK3 respectively. Trk family receptors are comprised of an extracellular domain, a single transmembrane domain, and an intracellular domain. The high level of homology within this family is reflected in the extracellular domain of each member, which contains two cysteine clusters bracketing three leucine-rich motifs, and two immunoglobin-like C2 regions. The ATP binding site, which is the target for larotrectinib, is located within the intracellular domain. These receptors exist in a dynamic equilibrium between the lower ligand affinity monomeric form and the higher affinity dimeric form. Binding of the ligand (in the case of TrkA, dimeric NGF) stabilizes the dimeric form and results in downstream kinase signaling.
*TrkA mediated signaling*

Following binding of the ligand and dimerization, autophosphorylation of tyrosine residues Y490 and Y785 of TrkA permits binding of adaptor molecules including Shc and PLC-γ, resulting in downstream catalytic activation of the Ras/MAP Kinase and PI3K pathways, promoting proliferation and survival (13). The normal physiologic function for this pathway is in the development of neuronal tissues during organogenesis, promoting survival, differentiation and proliferation of neurons in the central and peripheral nervous systems. However, when inappropriately or constitutively activated, these signaling cascades promote growth and survival in tumors. A putative autocrine loop for this signaling pathway has been identified in breast cancer (14).

*Early positive results from clinical trials*

Targeted therapeutics inhibiting the NTRK translocations have been developed with promising results recently reported (1, 8, 15, 16), and clinical trials have shown early positive results (10). The potential to expand these targeted medications to other, difficult to treat cancers offers possibilities for more directed and effective treatment.
Materials and Methods

Cell Culture:

The non-tumorigenic human breast epithelial cell line MCF10A and its derivatives were cultured in DMEM/F12 (1:1) supplemented with 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), 0.1 ng/uL cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), 10ug/mL insulin (Life Technologies), 20 ng/mL EGF (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 ug/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich) and 5% horse serum (Life Technologies). The non-tumorigenic, human TERT-immortalized human breast epithelial cell line hTERT-IMEC and its derivatives were cultured in DMEM/F12 supplemented with 1% Pen/Strep, 10% charcoal-dextran stripped
fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Life Technologies), cholera toxin, insulin, hydrocortisone and EGF, with recovery for 24 hours in MCF10A media after trypsinization for plating or passaging. The human breast cancer cell line MCF7 and its derivatives were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 1% Pen/Strep and 5% FBS. NTRK1-overexpressing clones and CD74-NTRK1 fusion expression clones were continuously cultured under Geneticin (Life Technologies) selection, beginning 24 hours after transfection. MCF10A parental, hTERT-IMEC parental, and MCF7 parental were purchased from ATCC.

hTERT-IMEC-derived cell lines were prepared for growth factor-reduced assays by a 48-hour arrest in DMEM/F12 with 5% charcoal/dextran stripped FBS (C/D FBS), 1% Pen/Strep, hydrocortisone, and cholera toxin after being trypsinized, spun down and washed twice with HBSS. MCF7-derived cell lines for low growth factor experiments were prepared for plating by a 48-hour arrest in DMEM with 5% C/D FBS, 1% Pen/Strep, after being trypsinized, spun down and washed twice with HBSS. MCF10A-derived cell lines were prepared for plating for low growth factor conditions (physiologic EGF and no EGF) by trypsin and two HBSS washes and spins (17).

Parental cell lines were authenticated by short tandem repeat profiling analysis at the Johns Hopkins Genetic Resources Core Facility. A complete list of cell lines generated and used in this study can be found in Table 1.
Overexpression of NTRK1 or CD74-NTRK1 cDNA in human cells:

 NTRK1 cDNA was purchased as pCMV6-AC-GFP-NTRK1 (RC213091, Origene, Rockville, MD) and subcloned into the pIRESneo3 vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) by amplification (Table 2) and addition of cut sites before digestion and ligation into pIRESneo3. Cells were transfected with pIRESneo3-NTRK1 using the Fugene 6 system (Promega, Fitchburg, WI). 24 hours after transfection, cells were trypsinized and changed to selection media containing Geneticin (Life Technologies) and allowed to grow until colonies were visually identified, at which point single cell selection was performed and multiple single clones were isolated. This procedure was repeated in each cell line with the pIRESneo3 empty vector as a control (17). CD74-NTRK1 fusion cDNA was generously provided by Pasi A Janne (8). Transfection and selection were performed as above.

Immunoblotting:

 Cells were washed twice with HBSS (Life Technologies) and plated at 500,000 cells per well in 6-well plates. After 24 hours, media was changed to specified conditions. 24 hours after media change, cells were harvested for protein lysates and immunoblotting was performed as previously described (17). Briefly, cells were washed twice with HBSS and collected in Laemmli buffer
(Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with 10% beta mercaptoethanol, placed at 100°C for 10 minutes and frozen. Protein was quantified with Pierce 660nM Reagent (Invitrogen) and lysates loaded accordingly into NuPage 4-12% gel (Invitrogen) and run at 200V for 35 minutes. Gels were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) 45uM membranes (Invitrogen) for 1 hour at 30V. Membranes were blocked with 10% milk in TBST for 1 hour, placed in primary antibody in 5% milk at 4°C overnight, washed 4x5 minutes in TBST, placed in horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody in 5% at room temperature for 1 hour, washed 20 minutes with TBST, 2x5 minutes in TBST, 5 minutes in TBS, and finally placed in ECL reagent solution for 1 minute before exposure of X-ray film for visualization.

The primary antibodies used in this study include anti-TrkA rabbit antibody (2505; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), anti-pTrkA rabbit antibody (9141S; Cell Signaling), anti-hSerpin E1/PAI-1 mouse antibody (MAB 1786; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), anti-phospho-AKT rabbit antibody (9271; Cell Signaling), anti-AKT rabbit antibody (9272; Cell Signaling), anti-phospho-p44/p42 MAP kinase rabbit antibody (4370; Cell Signaling), anti-p44/p42 MAP kinase rabbit antibody (9102; Cell Signaling), anti-phospho-P90RSK rabbit antibody (9341; Cell Signaling), anti-P90RSK rabbit antibody (9333; Cell Signaling), anti-phospho-p70 S6 kinase rabbit antibody (9205; Cell
Signaling), anti-p70 S6 kinase rabbit antibody (9202; Cell Signaling), and anti-GAPDH rabbit antibody (5174; Cell Signaling) (17, 18).

**Cell proliferation assays:**

**Cell counting:**

Exponentially growing cells were washed twice in HBSS before plating at 30,000 cells/well in 12-well plates in triplicate. After 24 hours, media was changed to specified conditions. Cells were trypsinized and counted using a Beckman-Coulter cell counter at days 2, 4, and 6 after plating (17). Assay media, DMEM/F12 supplemented with 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 10% charcoal-dextran stripped fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies), 0.1 ng/uL cholera toxin, 0.5 ug/mL hydrocortisone, 10ug/mL insulin for MCF10A. Epidermal growth factor (EGF) added at 0.2 ng/mL. NGF, neuronal growth factor, 100ng/mL for MCF10A-derived cells, 12.5ng/mL for hTERT-IMEC derived cells.

**Crystal violet:**

Cells were plated at 30,000 cells/well in 24-well or 48-well plates. As appropriate, media was replaced with media containing drug at 24 hours after plating. At 1 week, cells were washed twice with HBSS before addition of a .05% crystal violet solution in 70% ethanol for 15 minutes, rinsed, allowed to dry, and
Imaged (17). Images were taken from larger plates in smaller groups to avoid parallax effect.

**Colony formation in semisolid media:**

A solution of cells at 30,000 cells/mL media was prepared and mixed 1:1 with a 1x media, 1.2% UltraPure Agarose (Life Technologies) solution before plating on top of a layer of 0.6% agarose solution. After solidifying, media was added on top and replaced weekly over a course of 2-4 weeks before staining with .05% crystal violet in 70% ethanol and imaging. Colonies were counted in each field of view in each of four 10x images per well per replicate. Photographs were taken with a Nikon SMZ 1500 stereoscopic zoom microscope (17).

**Acinar morphogenesis assay:**

Acinar morphogenesis assays were performed with growth factor reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) as previously described (17). Briefly, a solution of 4% Matrigel in media with 30,000 cells/well was plated in 8-well chamber slides on top of a layer of 4% Matrigel in media. Media with Matrigel in appropriate conditions was added weekly over a course of 1-4 weeks and images taken at appropriate time points at 40x with a Nikon SMZ 1500 stereoscopic zoom microscope (17).
Wound healing assay:

Cells were plated at 2 million cells/well in 6-well plates and checked for confluence the following day. Scratch wounds were made in a cross pattern using a 200uL pipette tip and media changed to conditioned or drugged media. Phase contrast images were taken to the left or right of the center of the cross wound at 10x at 0 hour and 16 hour time points. Scratch size in each image was quantified using the Scratch Assay Analyzer tool in the MiToBo extension in ImageJ 2.0 with sigma = 2 and entropy filter = 25 and verified by hand. The percent of wound healing was calculated by dividing the wound area at 16 hours by the wound area at 0 hours, subtracting the resulting value from 1, and multiplying by 100 (17).

Microchannel migration assays:

A polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) microfluidic device was produced by standard photolithography and replica molding, comprised of an array of microchannels 200um long, 10um tall, with widths of 3um, 6um, 10um, 20um, or 50um, as previously described (17). Prior to cell seeding, the PDMS device and glass coverslip were plasma cleaned, and the PDMS device was adhered to the glass surface. Collagen Type I (BD Biosciences) at 20 ug/mL in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was absorbed for one hour at 37C, then aspirated and
washed with PBS before cell seeding. Cells were trypsinized, washed with HBSS and suspended at 50,000 cells in 50uL low growth factor media (DMEM with 2% C/D FBS, 1% Pen/Strep) in a well at one end of the device. The well at the opposite end was filled with full growth factor media with NGF. Time-lapse microscopy images were taken at 10 minute intervals for 12 hours. Cell bodies were identified by hand in each frame with the x-y position of a cell defined by the central cell body, and values for cellular velocity, displacement and distance traveled were calculated with a custom MATLAB program (17).

**Immunohistochemistry:**

Confluent T75 flasks were trypsinized and centrifuged to form cell pellets. Cell pellets were fixed in formalin for 24 hours before paraffin embedding, sectioning and adherence to slides. Slides were steamed in EDTA solution and incubated with anti-TrkA rabbit monoclonal antibody (2505S; Cell Signaling). Poly-HRP anti-rabbit IgG antibody was applied and then visualized with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (Sigma). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin (18).

**Xenograft assays:**

For each group, a minimum of five 8- to 10-week old female athymic nude mice (Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN) were provided with estrogen pellet supplementation prior to cell injection. For solid tumor formation studies, cell
solutions were prepared in a 20% sterile PBS, 80% growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences) containing 1 million cells/200uL and injected subcutaneously in either flank at 200uL. Tumor volumes were measured weekly and calculated by multiplying width, length and height for each individual tumor.

For tail vein injection assays, cell suspensions were prepared in sterile PBS at .5 million cells/200uL and injected at 200uL into the tail vein of 8- to 10-week-old female athymic nude mice. Mice were monitored for signs of disseminated tumor formation including palpable mass and discomfort, and euthanized as appropriate. Tissues and tumors were excised and fixed in 10% formalin before embedding in paraffin, sectioning, and staining with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissues were examined grossly, and 5 stained sections were examined for evidence of multicellular, proliferating disease per experimental group under a phase contrast microscope.

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with institutional and The National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals guidelines.
Statistics:

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software). Unpaired Student’s T tests, 1-way ANOVA, and 2-way ANOVA tests were used to compare experimental groups to the appropriate control. Significance levels are indicated by asterisks: P<= 0.05 (*), P<= 0.01 (**) and P<= 0.001 (***) . Error bars represent +/- SEM.
Overexpression of TrkA protein in non-tumorigenic human breast epithelial cell lines

With the goal of producing a breast cell model demonstrating the impact of TrkA overexpression, two non-tumorigenic human breast cell lines were transfected with a pIRESneo3 plasmid containing a human full length NTRK1 cDNA, single cell isolated and confirmed to express the TrkA protein by western blot and immunohistochemistry of cell pellets (Figure 1, Figure 11). MCF10A is a spontaneously immortalized mammary cell line that grows best in media containing supraphysiologic (20ng/mL) epidermal growth factor (EGF), insulin,
and cholera toxin. hTERT-IMEC is a mammary cell line immortalized by human TERT protein expression that is similarly non-tumorigenic in immunocompromised mice and is grown in similar media factors. Neither parental cell line expresses the TrkA protein at significant levels, as confirmed by western blot and immunohistochemistry of cell pellets. For each of these two parental cell lines, four independent TrkA-overexpressing clones were isolated (referred to as MN1-4 and HIN2-5) as well as one empty vector control expressing the pIRESneo3 plasmid containing no cDNA (MEV and HIpl) (Figure 1). TrkA-overexpressing and empty vector clones were continuously maintained under Geneticin selection. The MCF10A cell line arrests under growth factor restricted conditions in media lacking EGF and replacing horse serum with charcoal/dextran stripped (C/D) fetal bovine serum (FBS). The hTERT-IMEC cell line takes several days to arrest under these conditions, and thus these cells were prepared for assays by plating in these conditions for 48 hours before plating for assays. As an additional positive control, MCF10A cells were transfected with a plasmid containing CD74-NTRK1 translocation cDNA, and three clones isolated (CDN2-4) (Figure 28).
Non-tumorigenic breast cells show growth factor-independent growth when overexpressing TrkA

Parental MCF10A and hTERT-IMEC require supraphysiologic EGF as well as insulin in order to grow well. Growth factor independence represents a hallmark of cancer, and EGF independence is a phenotype that has been previously produced in MCF10A-derived cell lines when transformed by oncogenic mutations (19). In order to determine whether this was a characteristic imparted by TrkA overexpression, we performed several cell growth assays comparing TrkA overexpressing and fusion expressing cell lines to the parental cell lines under growth-factor limited (physiologic EGF, 0.2 ng/μL) and arrest (no EGF, no insulin) conditions.

In cell growth assays by crystal violet staining and by cell counting, the TrkA-overexpressing MCF10A and hTERT-IMEC and CD74-NTRK1-expressing MCF10A show proliferation under EGF-free growth limiting conditions as compared to arrest media in the parental and empty vector controls (Figures 4-10, Figure 27). This effect is replicated in the MCF10A cell lines under media conditions with physiologic EGF (0.2ng/mL), where the TrkA overexpressors outgrow the slow proliferation of the parental and empty vector controls. In repeating the growth assays in media lacking both EGF and insulin, here we demonstrated that TrkA overexpression results in both EGF independence and
insulin independence (Figure 32). In each of these conditions, the addition of the TrkA ligand neuronal growth factor (NGF) increases the growth advantage observed. Treatment with larotrectinib diminishes or reverses this growth factor independence phenotype. TrkA overexpression in human epithelial breast cells produces EGF and insulin-independent growth that can be effectively targeted with the inhibitor larotrectinib.

**TrkA-overexpressing clones show amplified signaling in growth and survival pathways**

Downstream of TrkA activation and phosphorylation are the pro-growth and pro-survival MAPK (via ERK-RSK1) and AKT (via AKT-P70S6K) pathways. Assaying for phosphorylated forms of these pathway proteins by western blot gives a clear picture of increased activation in the TrkA-overexpressing and translocation expressing clones (Figures 12-16, Figure 29). This is particularly amplified in the presence of NGF for the TrkA overexpressors, and diminished or abolished with the addition of larotrectinib even in the presence of NGF. Specifically, levels of phospho-TrkA, phospho-AKT, phospho-P70S6K, phospho-ERK, and phospho-P90RSK1 are elevated by TrkA-NGF in the MCF10A-derived cell lines, whereas the phospho-AKT phenotype was not observed in the hTERT-
IMEC-derived cell lines and the P90RSK1 expression was too low under growth factor reduced conditions to detect.

**Increased irregularity and amplified anchorage-independent growth in three-dimensional culture**

When cultured at low density in Matrigel under low growth factor conditions, the parental and empty vector expressing MCF10A and hTERT-IMEC form relatively small, regular spherical acini. By comparison, the TrkA-overexpressing and translocation expressing clones form larger and more irregular colonies that are frequently connected to each other or spiculated (Figures 17, 18, Figure 30). This effect is particularly pronounced in TrkA overexpressing clones in the presence of NGF, which resulted in many interconnected colonies for the MCF10A and formations like nodes connected by relatively long bridges for the hTERT-IMEC. These effects were blocked by the addition of larotrectinib, which restored even the clones in the presence of NGF to small, regular spheroids.

When cultured in soft agar, once again parental and empty vector expressing cells formed a few, regular spheres in each field of view. TrkA overexpressing and translocation lines formed many more and larger colonies, demonstrating not only increased growth rates but also increased anoikis.
resistance and anchorage-independent growth (Figures 19, 20). These effects were increased in the TrkA-overexpressing cells in the presence of NGF and reduced or ablated in the presence of the inhibitor larotrectinib in overexpression and translocation clones.

**Increased wound healing and migration**

As one of the first stages of metastasis requires cells to migrate from the central tumor body to the circulation, migratory capacity may be indicative of a possible metastatic phenotype. Under growth-factor limited conditions, the parental MCF10A and hTERT-IMEC show reduced capacity for scratch-wound healing. In order to determine whether the migratory capacity of the overexpression clones was altered, we performed scratch wound healing and microchannel migration assays. When plated to a confluent monolayer and scratched to mimic a wound, followed by imaging over time, an increased migration and wound healing phenotype was observed in the TrkA-overexpressing and translocation cell lines (Figures 21, 22, Figure 31). An interesting change in morphology while migrating was observed in the MCF10A-derived TrkA overexpression clones in the presence of NGF. Typically, the advancing front of cells as the wound closes migrate in a fairly regular fashion, resulting in a slightly ragged but relatively even edge. In the MCF10A TrkA-
overexpressing lines with NGF, the advancing lines were wavy and uneven, suggesting perhaps a difference in migration not otherwise observed (Figure 23).

Because an increased growth phenotype had already been observed in these cell lines, it was important to perform migration assays that were independent of growth, which the scratch assay is unable to fully separate. For this purpose, trypsinized MN or MEV cells were suspended in growth factor-free media separated from a well containing normal media containing NGF by microchannels of various widths. Time lapse imaging allowed the tracking of individual cells as they migrate up the chemotactic gradient. This produced measures of speed, persistence and velocity. Speed is here defined as the amount of movement an individual cell shows, independent of direction. Persistence quantifies the extent to which a cell continues to move across the channel, with a perfectly straight path from low growth factor media to high growth factor media having a value of 1. The product of these two quantities is the velocity, which reflects the migratory capacity of the cell.

In these assays, the speed of the TrkA overexpressors was observed to be double that of the empty vector control, reflecting a more motile cell (Figure 24). The persistence showed no significant difference (Figure 25). Velocity, the measure of migration, was significantly higher in the TrkA overexpressors as compared to the empty vector control (Figure 26). These assays were performed
in channels of varying widths from 3 uM to 20 uM. It was additionally observed that the TrkA overexpressors were more likely to enter the two smallest sets of channels, and so few cells entered these smallest channels for the empty vector control that these were impossible to accurately measure. The addition of the inhibitor larotrectinib had the effect of decreasing the speed and velocity measures, as well as causing the TrkA overexpressors to enter the smallest channels less frequently.

**TrkA overexpression alters gene expression**

Gene expression under growth arrest conditions in the presence of NGF were compared by microarray for one TrkA-overexpressing clone and the empty vector control of the MCF10A and hTERT-IMEC cell lines. Multiple genes were identified as being differentially expressed in both comparisons, listed in Tables 4 and 5. Of the genes listed, several have been identified in the literature as being involved in breast cancer tumorigenesis, metastasis, or progression. HIST1H2BM encodes a histone protein increased in breast cancer progression in the MCF10AT model (20). FEN1, flap endonuclease 1, is elevated in DNA damage, interacts with APC to promote breast cancer development, (21) and is associated with poor prognosis and highly aggressive tumor types (22). HSD17B2, hydroxysteroid 17b-dehydrogenase type 2, is modulated by estrogen
exposure (23), catalyzes conversion between estrogen forms, and is upregulated in ER-negative breast cancer (24). IL-1A, interleukin 1A, is involved in a pro-inflammatory feed-forward loop that promotes breast cancer tumorigenesis and chemotherapy resistance (25). FBXO45, F-box protein 45, is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that is involved in estrogen receptor degradation (26) and altering chemosensitivity (27). SFN, stratifin, is important to cellular movement and correlated with poor progression and aggressive breast cancer subtypes (28). ERCC6L, excision repair cross-complementation group 6 like, is a DNA helicase that promotes cell proliferation and survival in breast cancer (29). EFNB2, ephrin B2, is prognostic for poor breast cancer survival when cytoplasmic rather than membrane-bound (30), is a target of TGFb in pro-invasive and migratory processes (31), and modulates expression of metalloproteases MMP9 and MMP2 in these invasive processes (32). PLAGL2, pleomorphic adenoma gene like-2, is a zinc finger translational factor that promotes migration by interacting with the actin cytoskeleton (33). Of the downregulated genes, TSHZ2 is a zinc finger homeobox protein that releases tumorigenesis-promoting GLI1 when downregulated in breast cancer (34) and TSC22D3, glucocorticoid leucine zipper, is an apoptosis-regulating transcription factor downregulated by estrogen in breast cancer cells (35).
TrkA overexpression increases growth in a tumorigenic breast cell line in vivo

Initial assays in NOD-SCID mice indicated that the overexpression of TrkA does not confer tumorigenicity on the MCF10A cell line. Therefore, a TrkA-overexpression model was developed in the tumorigenic MCF7 cell line by the protocol described above in order to produce an in vivo model. Briefly, multiple isogenic TrkA-overexpressing clones as well as an empty vector control were produced by single-cell isolation of transfected MCF7 cells. Western blot was utilized to confirm overexpression of the TrkA protein as compared to the low but detectable level seen in the parental MCF7. In mice, TrkA overexpression conferred higher proliferation but did not confer estrogen independence (Figure 33). TrkA expression in the tumors was confirmed by immunohistochemistry. No gross metastases were observed. In the tumorigenic MCF7 cell line, TrkA overexpression increases proliferation in vivo but does not alter estrogen dependence or invasiveness.

TrkA overexpression confers the capacity for disseminated disease formation

The MCF7 cell lines were next interrogated by tail vein injection to determine the capacity for disseminated disease formation, an indicator of metastatic capacity. Whereas the parental MCF7 cell line does not form tumors when injected in the tail vein, the TrkA-overexpressing MCF7 cell lines formed
microscopic and macroscopic tumors in lung as well as salivary gland and other organs (Figures 34, 35).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCF10A</td>
<td>Parental MCF10A cell line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEV</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN1</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN2</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN3</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN4</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hTERT-IMEC</td>
<td>Parental hTERT-IMEC cell line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIpI</td>
<td>hTERT-IMEC expressing pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIN2</td>
<td>hTERT-IMEC expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIN3</td>
<td>hTERT-IMEC expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIN4</td>
<td>hTERT-IMEC expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIN5</td>
<td>hTERT-IMEC expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDN2</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing CD74-NTRK1 translocation vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDN3</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing CD74-NTRK1 translocation vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDN4</td>
<td>MCF10A expressing CD74-NTRK1 translocation vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF7</td>
<td>Parental MCF7 cell line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF7 EV</td>
<td>Parental MCF7 cell line expressing pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF7 TrkA</td>
<td>MCF7 expressing NTRK1-pIRESneo3 vector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Cell lines used in this study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R ntrk1 center</td>
<td>GCACTCAGCAAGGAAGACCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F ntrk1 center</td>
<td>GGCAGAGGTCTCTGTTCAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R ntrk1 RC213091</td>
<td>TTGCTGCCAGATCCTCTTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F ntrk1 RC213091</td>
<td>GATCCCGTACCGAGGAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NotI-ntrk1 R Long</td>
<td>CATTAGGCGGCGGCACCTAGGCCCCAGGACATCC AGGTAGACAGGAGGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NotI-ntrk1 Reverse</td>
<td>CATTAGGCGGCGGCACCTAGGCCCCAGGACATCC AGGTAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NotI-ntrk1 Forward</td>
<td>GATTACAGCGGCCGACCATGCTGCAGGCGGCGA CAGGTAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRK1cDNA R2</td>
<td>TTGTCCATGAAGGCAGCCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRK1 cDNA F2</td>
<td>TGGTCTCATTCAGGACGGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRK1 cDNA R1</td>
<td>AATGGCTCCGTGCTCAATGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRK1 cDNA F1</td>
<td>AGGGTTGTCCATGAAGGCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pIRES-MCS-ntrk1 R2</td>
<td>AACGCCACAGCATCAAGGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pIRES-MCS-ntrk1 F2</td>
<td>GTACTCACCACACAGCTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pIRES-MCS-ntrk1 R1</td>
<td>CAGCATCAAGGATGTGCACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pIRES-MCS-ntrk1 F1</td>
<td>GGAGTACTCACCCCAACAGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Primers used in this study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Total Cases</th>
<th>NTRK1 Amplified</th>
<th>Percent Amplified</th>
<th>Total TNBC</th>
<th>TNBC NTRK1 Amplified</th>
<th>Percent Amplified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>METABRIC</td>
<td>2051</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>21.26%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCRC Xenograft</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.79%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MBC Project</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.62%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCGA 2015</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10.89%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCGA</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>10.59%</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickkids 2016</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.98%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCGA Pub</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.98%</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3**: Frequency of NTRK1 amplification in breast cancers via cBioPortal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold Change in MCF10A</th>
<th>Fold Change in hTERT-IMEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H2BM</td>
<td>2.00E+05</td>
<td>4.09E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEN1</td>
<td>1.31E+03</td>
<td>2.37E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPINK6</td>
<td>8.70E+02</td>
<td>8.61E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H3I</td>
<td>7.29E+02</td>
<td>4.89E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSG2</td>
<td>3.76E+02</td>
<td>2.76E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H2AG</td>
<td>1.73E+02</td>
<td>1.85E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAC2</td>
<td>1.56E+02</td>
<td>7.87E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYLS1</td>
<td>1.16E+02</td>
<td>5.36E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSD17B2</td>
<td>7.55E+01</td>
<td>7.35E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIGW</td>
<td>6.42E+01</td>
<td>1.34E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H2BL</td>
<td>4.62E+01</td>
<td>1.48E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT1G</td>
<td>3.55E+01</td>
<td>3.76E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL1A</td>
<td>2.60E+01</td>
<td>3.05E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEF1E1</td>
<td>2.19E+01</td>
<td>5.58E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGP</td>
<td>1.81E+01</td>
<td>3.67E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST2H2AB</td>
<td>1.66E+01</td>
<td>2.82E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLPH</td>
<td>1.52E+01</td>
<td>3.58E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIM59</td>
<td>1.21E+01</td>
<td>3.78E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBXO45</td>
<td>1.17E+01</td>
<td>3.63E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H4L</td>
<td>1.12E+01</td>
<td>3.39E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT1M</td>
<td>1.08E+01</td>
<td>3.90E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEBPG</td>
<td>9.73E+00</td>
<td>3.37E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAUS2</td>
<td>8.57E+00</td>
<td>7.09E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMEM97</td>
<td>8.02E+00</td>
<td>1.91E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H2BO</td>
<td>7.86E+00</td>
<td>1.61E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5orf30</td>
<td>7.79E+00</td>
<td>4.86E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFN</td>
<td>7.47E+00</td>
<td>1.34E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H2BH</td>
<td>7.44E+00</td>
<td>1.77E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT3</td>
<td>7.42E+00</td>
<td>1.33E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERCC6L</td>
<td>7.24E+00</td>
<td>2.21E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFNB2</td>
<td>6.42E+00</td>
<td>6.27E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10orf12</td>
<td>5.58E+00</td>
<td>2.66E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIFA</td>
<td>4.42E+00</td>
<td>6.47E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IER3</td>
<td>4.36E+00</td>
<td>3.88E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H2BE</td>
<td>4.20E+00</td>
<td>1.39E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIC1</td>
<td>4.13E+00</td>
<td>3.90E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1H4C</td>
<td>4.02E+00</td>
<td>2.27E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MID1IP1</td>
<td>3.95E+00</td>
<td>3.24E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAGL2</td>
<td>3.21E+00</td>
<td>3.95E+00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Genes upregulated in TrkA- overexpressors by microarray.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Fold Change in MCF10A</th>
<th>Fold Change in hTERT-IMEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCDHB5</td>
<td>2.94E-01</td>
<td>1.18E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBLN3</td>
<td>2.51E-01</td>
<td>1.50E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TACSTD2</td>
<td>2.50E-01</td>
<td>8.87E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRX5</td>
<td>2.25E-01</td>
<td>2.25E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDHB3</td>
<td>2.10E-01</td>
<td>2.40E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIRC1</td>
<td>1.86E-01</td>
<td>1.31E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAM229B</td>
<td>1.81E-01</td>
<td>1.35E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWDD2A</td>
<td>1.70E-01</td>
<td>2.64E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMEM127</td>
<td>1.66E-01</td>
<td>2.57E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB21D2</td>
<td>1.62E-01</td>
<td>1.74E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDHB16</td>
<td>1.52E-01</td>
<td>1.84E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJA5</td>
<td>1.43E-01</td>
<td>1.50E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCEAL3</td>
<td>1.39E-01</td>
<td>2.49E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPR1</td>
<td>1.22E-01</td>
<td>9.37E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDHB10</td>
<td>7.48E-02</td>
<td>9.70E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSHZ2</td>
<td>6.99E-02</td>
<td>2.85E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC22D3</td>
<td>4.25E-03</td>
<td>4.21E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITED2</td>
<td>7.07E-05</td>
<td>2.98E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5:** Genes downregulated in TrkA-overexpressors by microarray.
Figure 1: Experimental design. *NTRK1* cDNA was isolated by PCR from RC213091 vector and ligated to pIRESneo3 vector, transfected using Fugene reagents, and multiple independent clones isolated in MCF10A and hTERT-IMEC parental cell lines.
Figure 2: TrkA alterations across breast cancer studies as found in TCGA data via cBioPortal database. The primary alteration is amplification, in 5-22% of cases.
Figure 3: Kaplan-Meier survival curves in breast invasive carcinoma, TCGA data, Cell 2015 dataset for breast cancers with GISTIC putative copy number alteration. In this data, alteration in TrkA confers a 40 month survival disadvantage.
Figure 4: Parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA-overexpressing clones MN1-4 plated at 30,000 cells/well in 24-well plates, changed to the specified media at 24 hours, and stained with crystal violet at 5 days. Images taken from larger plates in smaller groups to avoid parallax effect. MCF10A arrest media, epidermal growth factor (EGF) added at 0.2 ng/mL, neuronal growth factor (NGF) added at 100 ng/mL and larotrectinib added at 1.5uM as specified.
Figure 5: Parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector control hIpI and TrkA-overexpressing clones HIN2-5 plated at 30,000 cells/well in 24-well plates, changed to the specified media at 24 hours, and stained with crystal violet at 5 days. hTERT-IMEC arrest media, neuronal growth factor (NGF) added at 12.5 ng/mL and larotrectinib added at 1.5uM as specified.
Figure 6: Parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA-overexpressing clones MN1-4 plated at 30,000 cells/well in triplicate in 24-well plates, changed to the specified media at 24 hours, and counted with a Beckman-Coulter cell counter at 2, 4, and 6 days. Mean ± SEM shown, ** P ≤ 0.001, NS = not significant by ANOVA at 6 day time point. (A) Proliferation averages, arrest media alone (B) Proliferation averages, arrest media with neuronal growth factor, 100ng/mL.
Figure 7: Parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 plated at 30,000 cells/well in triplicate in 24-well plates, changed to the specified media at 24 hours, and counted with a Beckman-Coulter cell counter at 2, 4, and 6 days. Mean ± SEM shown, ** P ≤ 0.001, NS = not significant by ANOVA at 6 day timepoint. (A) Proliferation averages, arrest media with physiologic levels of epidermal growth factor (EGF) at 0.2 ng/mL (B) Proliferation averages, arrest media with physiologic levels of epidermal growth factor (EGF) at 0.2 ng/mL, neuronal growth factor (NGF) added at 100 ng/mL.
Figure 8: Parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 plated at 30,000 cells/well in triplicate in 24-well plates, changed to the specified media at 24 hours, and counted with a Beckman-Coulter cell counter at 2, 4, and 6 days. Mean ± SEM shown, ** P ≤ 0.001, NS = not significant by ANOVA at 6 day time point. (A) Proliferation averages, arrest media with physiologic levels of epidermal growth factor (EGF) at 0.2 ng/mL and larotrectinib, 1.5uM (B) Proliferation averages, arrest media with physiologic levels of epidermal growth factor (EGF) at 0.2 ng/mL, neuronal growth factor (NGF) added at 100 ng/mL, larotrectinib 1.5uM.
Figure 9: Parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector control hlpI and TrkA overexpressing clones HIN2-5 plated at 30,000 cells/well in triplicate in 24-well plates, changed to the specified media at 24 hours, and counted with a Beckman-Coulter cell counter at 2, 4, and 6 days. Mean ± SEM shown, ** P ≤ 0.001, NS = not significant by ANOVA at 6 day time point. (A) Proliferation averages, arrest media (B) Proliferation averages, arrest media with neuronal growth factor (NGF) added at 12.5 ng/mL.
Figure 10: Parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector control hlpl and TrkA overexpressing clones HIN2-5 plated at 30,000 cells/well in triplicate in 24-well plates, changed to the specified media at 24 hours, and counted with a Beckman-Coulter cell counter at 2, 4, and 6 days. Mean ± SEM shown, **P ≤ 0.001, NS = not significant by ANOVA at 6 day time point. (A) Proliferation averages, arrest media with larotrectinib, 1.5uM (B) Proliferation averages, arrest media with larotrectinib 1.5 uM, neuronal growth factor (NGF) added at 12.5 ng/mL.
Figure 11: TrkA is expressed externally in overexpression cell lines (MN1-4, HIN2-5) and not in parental (MCF10A, hTERT-IMEC) or empty vector controls (MEV, hIpI). Cell pellets were fixed, sectioned, and stained with anti-TrkA antibody by immunohistochemistry.
Figure 12: Western blots show activation in growth and survival pathways in TrkA overexpression lines, which is amplified with the addition of NGF and can be blocked with the inhibitor larotrectinib. Protein lysates for parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 were collected after 24 hour exposure to arrest media conditions with and without NGF at 100ng/mL and subjected to western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies.
Figure 13: Protein lysates for parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 were collected after 24 hour exposure to arrest media conditions supplemented with EGF at 0.2ng/mL with and without NGF at 100ng/mL and subjected to western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies.
Figure 14: Protein lysates for parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 were collected after 24 hour exposure to arrest media conditions supplemented with EGF at 0.2ng/mL and larotrectinib at 1.5uM, with and without NGF at 100ng/mL and subjected to western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies.
Figure 15: Protein lysates for parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector control hIpI and TrkA overexpressing clones HIN2-5 were collected after 24 hour exposure to arrest media conditions with and without NGF at 12.5ng/µL and subjected to western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies.
Figure 16: Protein lysates for parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector control hIpI and TrkA overexpressing clones HIN2-5 were collected after 24 hour exposure to arrest media conditions with larotrectinib at 1.5uM, with and without NGF at 12.5ng/uL and subjected to western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies.
Figure 17: TrkA overexpression changes morphology and increases anchorage independent growth in three-dimensional culture. Parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 were cultured in low growth factor conditions at low density in Matrigel under specified conditions. TrkA overexpressing clones showed larger, more irregular and spiculated colonies, exacerbated by neuronal growth factor (NGF) and reversed by larotrectinib. (Scale bar, 50 µm).
**Figure 18:** Parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector control hIpI and TrkA overexpressing clones HIN2-5 were cultured in low growth factor conditions at low density in Matrigel under conditions specified. TrkA overexpressing clones showed larger, more irregular and spiculated colonies, exacerbated by neuronal growth factor (NGF) and reversed by larotrectinib. (Scale bar, 50 µm).
Figure 19: Parental MCF10A, empty vector MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 were cultured in low growth factor conditions at low density in 0.8% agar for two weeks, stained with crystal violet and imaged in multiple fields of view for colony quantification. TrkA overexpressing clones formed more colonies per field of view (FOV), increased by NGF and reduced by larotrectinib. Mean ± SEM shown, ** P ≤ 0.001, NS = not significant by ANOVA.
**Figure 20:** Parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector hIpI and TrkA overexpressing clones HN2-5 were cultured in low growth factor conditions at low density in 0.8% agar for two weeks, stained with crystal violet and imaged in multiple fields of view for colony quantification. TrkA overexpressing clones formed more colonies per field of view (FOV), increased by NGF and reduced by larotrectinib. Mean ± SEM shown, **P ≤ 0.001, NS = not significant by ANOVA.
Figure 21: TrkA overexpression confers a migration advantage and changes wound healing morphology in MCF10A. Parental MCF10A, empty vector control MEV and TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 were plated to a confluent monolayer, scratched, and imaged at 0 and 16 hour time points; percent healing calculated from scratch dimensions quantified with ImageJ MiToBo software. TrkA overexpression confers higher wound healing especially with TrkA ligand neuronal growth factor (NGF) and larotrectinib inhibits this advantage.
Scratch Assay hTERT-IMEC

Figure 22: Parental hTERT-IMEC, empty vector control hlpI and TrkA overexpressing clones HIN2-5 plated to a confluent monolayer, scratched, and imaged at 0 and 16 hour time points; percent healing calculated from scratch dimensions quantified with ImageJ MiToBo software. TrkA overexpression confers higher wound healing especially with TrkA ligand neuronal growth factor (NGF) and larotrectinib inhibits this advantage.
Figure 23: Representative images of MCF10A scratch assays. TrkA overexpressing clones MN1-4 supplemented with ligand NGF show uneven, wavy morphology in the advancing front at 16 hours (scale bar, 50 µm).
Figure 24: Microchannel migration assays were performed tracking individual cells across variously sized channels along a growth factor gradient. (A) TrkA overexpressing clone showed higher instantaneous speed (B) Larotrectinib reduced this effect.
Figure 25: Microchannel migration assays were performed tracking individual cells across variously sized channels along a growth factor gradient. (A) TrkA overexpressing clone showed no significant difference in persistence (net cell displacement to total distance traveled) (B) Results were similar in the presence of larotrectinib.
Figure 26: Microchannel migration assays were performed tracking individual cells across variously sized channels along a growth factor gradient. (A) TrkA overexpressing clone showed higher cellular velocity, calculated as the product of speed and persistence (B) Larotrectinib reduced this effect.
Figure 27: Modeling a CD74-NTRK1 fusion expression in an MCF10A recapitulates growth advantage and larotrectinib sensitivity under growth-limiting media conditions as seen in TrkA overexpression model. CD74-NTRK1 expressing isogenic clones (CDN2-4) show proliferative advantage over parental MCF10A in crystal violet (A) and cell counting (B,C), decreased by larotrectinib.
Figure 28: Confirmation of TrkA expression by immunohistochemistry; antibody binds region in fusion sequence. Cells were collected, pelleted, and pellets preserved in formalin before paraffin embedding, sectioning, and immunohistochemistry for TrkA motif.
**Figure 29:** Modeling a *CD74-NTRK1* fusion expression in MCF10A recapitulates phosphorylation signaling amplification and larotrectinib sensitivity under growth-limiting media conditions as seen in TrkA overexpression model. Protein lysates were prepared from *CD74-NTRK1* fusion cell lines and parental MCF10A after 24 hours in arrest conditions with and without larotrectinib, subjected to western blot and probed for the specified antibodies. Phosphorylation of AKT, ERK, and P90RSK1 is increased in CDN clones, inhibited by larotrectinib.
Figure 30: Modeling a CD74-NTRK1 fusion expression in an MCF10A recapitulates morphology and anchorage independence in three-dimensional culture and larotrectinib sensitivity under growth-limiting media conditions as seen in TrkA overexpression model. Three-dimensional culture in Matrigel (A) and soft agar (B) gives slightly larger colonies, with larotrectinib reducing to parental size and number. FOV, field of view. Scale bar, 50 µm.
Figure 31: Modeling a CD74-NTRK1 fusion expression in an MCF10A recapitulates increased wound healing, and larotrectinib sensitivity under growth-limiting media conditions as seen in TrkA overexpression model. Confluent monolayers were scratched and imaged at 0 and 16 hours, and percent closing of scratch calculated using MiToBo for ImageJ.
Figure 32: TrkA overexpression confers insulin independence. MCF10A parental cell line, MEV empty vector control, MN1-4 TrkA overexpression clones were plated at 30,000 cells/well and changed to insulin-free assay media with or without NGF at 100ng/mL after 24 hours. (A, B) Average proliferation as performed by cell counts on a Beckman Coulter cell counter at day 2, 4, 6 with and without NGF. (C) Crystal violet staining at 7 days.
Figure 3: TrkA overexpressing isogenic clones of the tumorigenic breast line MCF7 were generated for *in vivo* work. NOD-SCID mice were injected subcutaneously with empty vector control or TrkA-overexpressing MCF7 cells. (A) TrkA overexpression gives a proliferative advantage but does not confer estrogen independence in MCF7. (B) TrkA expression in tumors confirmed by immunohistochemistry.
Figure 34: Representative images of lungs of mice after tail vein injection. MCF7 empty vector control does not form disseminated disease after tail vein injection. (A) Lung section, empty vector expressing MCF7, 4x. (B) Lung section, empty vector control expressing MCF7, 20x.
Figure 35: Representative images of lungs of mice after tail vein injection. TrkA overexpression in MCF7 confers the ability to form disseminated disease after tail vein injection. Small tumors adjacent to blood vessels as well as macroscopically visible tumors were formed in lung. (A) Lung section, TrkA-overexpressing MCF7, 4x. (B) Lung section, TrkA-overexpressing MCF7, 20x.
As we delve deeper into mutational mapping of tumor formation and tumor progression, it is evident that personalizing medicine by targetable mutations will be more successful than by tissue of origin. Leveraging inhibitors designed for cancers of other origins to cross boundaries offers potential for intersecting extant therapies with new tumor types. Releasing the highly aggressive triple negative breast cancers from the limitations of cytotoxic chemotherapy, radiation and surgery is a key endeavor. The dramatic changes induced in this preclinical breast model with the overexpression seen in patients as well as its reversibility with larotrectinib give support to this intersecting approach in the model constructed for our studies. Here, our results
demonstrated the characteristics of TrkA amplification as a driver mutation in breast cancer across independent clones and multiple cell lines, and also suggest larotrectinib as a specific therapy in these models.

In our studies, we demonstrated that TrkA overexpression was transformative and targetable, causing amplification of cancer-associated phenotypes that was reversed under treatment with larotrectinib. TrkA overexpressors showed not only advantages in proliferation in both monolayer and three dimensional culture as well as signaling activation, but migratory alterations that could promote and assist metastasis. A putative autocrine TrkA-NGF loop has been established in the MCF7 cell line. Publications vary on the exact levels of neuronal growth factor present in breast and other tissues but agree on the presence of the ligand endogenously. Tumors expressing TrkA may have growth and migratory advantages, and the chemotactic migratory NGF pathway could promote metastasis and even contribute to the tropism of breast cancers to the NGF-high brain tissue. Notably, the enhanced tendency of the TrkA overexpressing cells to enter small channels in our migration assays, and not just the rate of migration, was reduced in kind by larotrectinib.

We recognize the limitations of our studies in modeling amplification of TrkA in breast cancer in that artificial transgene overexpression does not fully represent the range of amplification levels seen in patients, as well as the
potential positional effects of plasmid integration location. The latter we endeavored to minimize by studying multiple independent clones against both parental and empty vector controls in order to differentiate between clonal effects and the impact of the overexpression on phenotypes seen. The effectiveness of larotrectinib for breast cancer may indeed vary with the degree of amplification, which could perhaps be mitigated by setting a minimum threshold for treatment, similar to Herceptin in HER2 positive breast cancers. Additionally, the doses of larotrectinib required in order to inhibit these phenotypic changes were higher than anticipated. However, the doses required for the inhibition of the TrkA overexpression clones were matched to those required for our in vitro studies of the translocation clones, and given the significant responses to larotrectinib in translocations in ongoing clinical studies, we anticipate that this observation does not limit the potential clinical utility of larotrectinib for amplifications. Previous studies have also observed that high levels of various chemotherapeutic drugs are required to see effects in the non-tumorigenic MCF10A cell line (15).

Amplification of TrkA is broadly represented across numerous tumor types and multiple studies, suggesting a future for this inhibitor in a broad range of cancers showing this feature. Our study highlights migratory and metastatic
phenotypes as a key product of TrkA overexpression and validates the efficacy of larotrectinib in a TrkA overexpression model in breast cancer.
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