Abstract

Lead Sulfide (PbS) Colloidal Quantum Dots (CQDs) are of interest for photovoltaic (PV) applications due to their low-cost, size-tunable, and solution-processable nature. They efficiently absorb infrared light, which is a major component of the sun’s spectrum, and have a direct bandgap. Their low-temperature materials synthesis and facile thin-film fabrication processes allow for scalable manufacturing and flexible substrate applications, such as for building-integrated PV and wearable electronics. Thus, they exhibit potential to expand the adoption of solar energy technology.

However, the efficiency of PbS CQD-based PV is limited in part due to poor carrier mobility in the standard hole transport layer (HTL) in the devices: ethanedithiol-passivated PbS CQD thin films (PbS-EDT). We therefore sought alternate strategies and materials to augment or replace the hole transport layer for improved performance. This thesis investigates the nature of these shortcomings, identifies material characteristics that hamper solar cell performance, proposes materials which address these challenges, and demonstrates improved performance after integration.

This thesis is organized into two sections. The first section describes infusion of elemental sulfur into the PbS-EDT hole transport layer. Based on Solar Capacitance Simulations, we uncovered significant photogeneration occurring in the HTL, which is nonideal behavior that demonstrates the need for better hole mobility, electron mobility, and doping density in this layer. We developed a method of evaporating sulfur atoms onto a PbS-EDT HTL to improve these electronic properties.
The second section describes the deposition of a solution of an exfoliated 2D transition metal dichalcogenide, tungsten diselenide (WSe$_2$), to enhance the hole transport layer. With insights gained from the study described in the first section, we utilized WSe$_2$ in our solution-processed device fabrication to augment the PbS-EDT HTL.

Together, this thesis unveils insights, strategies, and methods of engineering novel hole transport layers through a coherent study of materials development.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Introduction to Colloidal Quantum Dots

Lead Sulfide (PbS) colloidal quantum dots (CQDs) are an emerging technology and are of interest for applications in light emission, photodetection, biosensing, and photovoltaics.\[1\] As a colloidal solution of semiconducting nanocrystals (or “quantum dots”) stabilized in solvent, they are compatible with solution processable deposition methods, and can be fabricated into a thin film for use in an optoelectronic device. Their physical properties are thus advantageous for low-cost, scalable fabrication processes, important characteristics that could have a significant impact on expanding the use of photovoltaic technology. Additionally, their optical properties are promising for designing high performance photovoltaic devices. The bandgap of the semiconducting quantum dots, which influences the spectral properties of the absorption of light (important for matching to the sun’s spectrum), is determined by the size of each quantum dot.\[1\]. This relationship is determined by the quantum confinement effect, which allows the tuning of a nanocrystal’s bandgap through changing the size of the nanocrystal. Because PbS CQDs are tunable for efficient absorption of infrared light, which comprises a significant portion of the solar spectrum, they can be an improvement over other solution-processed solar materials that do not absorb infrared light efficiently, including lead halide perovskites and organic semiconductors.\[2\] The
tunability is also promising for tandem and multijunction solar cells, which require stacking materials of different bandgaps to maximize performance.

1.2 Synthesis of Colloidal Quantum Dots

To synthesize colloidal quantum dots, the hot injection method[3] is commonly used. By injecting a sulfur precursor into a heated lead precursor, monomers are formed, which then slowly grow into spherical colloidal nanocrystals.[1] Controlling the size (and thus bandgap) of the quantum dots that form is accomplished through changing the nucleation temperature (temperature of injection) and through controlling the temperature of the post-nucleation growth phase.[1] More specific details about our synthetic process may be found in Appendix I.

These nanocrystals are stably suspended in the solution phase, and are prevented from aggregating because of the surface ligands that cover the surface of the CQDs. Additionally, in the film phase, the choice of ligand can impact the electronic performance of CQD-based devices, as they passivate surface electronic trap states that would otherwise harm efficiency, and they also facilitate inter-dot coupling.[1]

1.3 Colloidal Quantum Dot Films

Creating a film from a CQD solution can be accomplished through solution-processed film deposition techniques, including dip casting, blade casting, spray casting, spin casting, and drop casting.[1] Building a solar cell that incorporates a CQD film requires a series of CQD-based and other layers, depicted in Figure 1-1. The CQD-based material with iodide and bromide ligands serves as the absorbing layer, where light from the sun is absorbed and forms an exciton consisting of an electron-hole pair that quickly dissociates. The electrons are transported through the ZnO nanoparticle (NP) electron transport layer, which blocks holes and carries electrons to the bottom transparent
conductor, fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO). Similarly, the holes are transported through the ethanedithiol-passivated PbS-CQD (PbS-EDT) hole transport layer, which blocks electrons and transports holes to the gold (Au) top conducting electrode. The resulting current and potential difference can be harnessed to power an external circuit.

![Diagram of a Lead Sulfide Colloidal Quantum Dot solar cell structure.](image)

**Figure 1-1.** Diagram of a Lead Sulfide Colloidal Quantum Dot solar cell structure.

1.4 Impact of Colloidal Quantum Dot Solar Technology

The low cost, solution-phase processability, fabrication scalability,[4, 5] and size tunability through the infrared enable solar cells based on PbS-CQDs to have potential
for new applications of photovoltaics (PV) that are not possible with existing silicon technology, such as building-integrated PV[6, 7] and flexible mobile power sources.[8, 9] However, the state-of-the-art power conversion efficiency (PCE) of PbS-CQD solar cells is 13%,[10] which trails that of silicon, thin-film chalcogenide (CdTe and Cu(In,Ga)Se$_2$ (CIGS)), and perovskite solar cells, which have all demonstrated PCEs of over 20%.[11] Therefore, more research is needed to further the performance of PbS-CQD solar cells in order for them to be more competitive with existing technologies.

1.5 Motivation for This Work

State-of-the-art Lead Sulfide Colloidal Quantum Dot solar cells make use of a thin layer of ethanedithiol (EDT)-passivated quantum dots through a solid phase ligand exchange process for the hole transport layer.[10] This layer has been shown to exhibit low carrier mobilities and low doping density, and serves as a critical barrier in the improvement of efficiency in PbS-CQD solar cells.[12] As a result, designing new materials to supplant or to supplement the PbS-EDT HTL is of interest for researchers. In this thesis, we investigated addressing this problem through the development of chalcogenide-based materials strategies for enhancing the PbS-EDT based hole transport layer to increase device performance.
Chapter 2

Chalcogenide-Enhanced Hole Transport Materials to Overcome Carrier Transport Limitations in Colloidal Quantum Dot Solar Cells

In this chapter, we investigate the origins of the low performance in the PbS-EDT hole transport materials. We propose two methods of using chalcogenide-based materials to develop new hole transport layers for PbS-CQD photovoltaics. First, we investigate elemental sulfur infusion of the PbS-EDT hole transport layer. Second, we investigate the use of monolayer or few-layer tungsten diselenide (WSe$_2$) for enhancing or replacing the PbS-EDT hole transport layer.

2.1 Sulfur-Infused Hole Transport Materials to Overcome Performance-Limiting Transport in Colloidal Quantum Dot Solar Cells

2.1.1 Introduction and Background

Semiconductor colloidal quantum dots (CQDs) are nanomaterials of interest for optoelectronic applications because they exhibit size-dependent optical and electronic properties due to quantum confinement effects.[14–17] PbS CQDs are of particular interest for photovoltaics because of their tunable absorption throughout the near-infrared;[3] their earth-abundant materials basis; and their amenability to a variety of solution-processed, scalable fabrication methods,[4, 5] all of which enable their promising applications in multijunction solar cells,[18, 19]) color-tuned devices for building-integrated photovoltaics,[6, 7] and flexible electronics.[8, 9] The field of PbS CQD photovoltaics has achieved power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of over 13%[10] attributed to improvements in materials,[20, 21] device architectures,[22, 23] and surface ligand engineering.[24, 25] Although advances in efficiency continue apace, the best-performing silicon, thin-film chalcogenide (CdTe and Cu(In,Ga)Se$_2$ (CIGS)), and perovskite solar cells have surpassed 20% PCE.[11] Therefore, higher efficiencies are needed in CQD solar cells in order for them to be competitive with other technologies.

The solar cell layer structure used in the highest-efficiency PbS CQD solar cells[26] is shown in Figure 2-1c. The absorbing layer consists of oleic acid-capped CQDs that have undergone a solution-phase ligand exchange with PbX$_2$ (X = Br, I) and ammonium acetate (“PbS-PbX$_2$” in the diagram). ZnO serves as the n-type electron transport layer (ETL) in CQD solar cells, which effectively blocks hole transport while efficiently transporting electrons through. This material has been studied in depth[27, 28] and is generally considered to have a high doping density up to $10^{18} cm^{-3}$ as well as ideal band alignment with the PbS CQD absorbing materials.[29] The hole transport layer (HTL) is meant to minimize leakage and recombination by facilitating hole transport to, and band alignment with, the deep work function metal top contact, while also blocking electron transport.[30] Current high-performing CQD solar cells use a thin ($<100 nm$) layer of PbS CQDs with solid-state-exchanged ethanedithiol.
(EDT) ligands as the p-type HTL.[10] This material exhibits low carrier mobilities and relatively low doping densities, making it presumably a critical barrier in the highest-performing CQD solar cell devices.[12] Development of the HTL has been more recent and focused on new ligand strategies, which have achieved promising results.[31, 32]

**Figure 2-1.** SCAPS simulations results: (a and b) PCE and fill factor, respectively, as a function of HTL hole mobility with a constant HTL electron mobility of $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ and HTL doping densities of $1 \times 10^{10}$ (red circles), $1 \times 10^{17}$ (green triangles), and $1 \times 10^{18}$ (blue squares). (c) Diagram of the CQD solar cell layer structure consisting of a bottom transparent substrate (not shown), a transparent electrode (fluorine-doped tin oxide in this work), a wide bandgap electron-extracting n-type semiconductor (ZnO), an intrinsic bulk absorbing PbS-PbX$_2$ ($X = \text{Br, I}$) CQD thin film, a thin hole-extracting p-type PbS CQD film treated with ethanedithiol (EDT) ligands, and a top evaporated Au contact. The hole-transporting layer (HTL) is indicated by a black arrow. (d and e) PCE and $J_{sc}$, respectively, as a function of HTL electron mobility with a constant hole mobility of $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. (f) PCE as a function of absorbing layer thickness for HTL electron mobilities of $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (green crosses), $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (orange diamonds), and $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ (purple stars).

In this work, we elucidate the impact of the HTL electronic properties, including carrier mobilities, doping density, and energy band levels, on device efficiency using one-dimensional Solar Cell Capacitance Simulator (SCAPS) calculations.[33] Using the
insights gained from this study, we introduce elemental sulfur as a means of performing stoichiometric control of the HTL. Our new HTL material shows increased p-type character[34] and improved CQD photovoltaic efficiencies via sulfur surface passivation, which facilitates higher electron mobilities for photogenerated electrons within the HTL. We use capacitance-voltage (Cap-V) measurements to obtain doping densities, space-charge-limited current (SCLC) measurements to acquire carrier mobilities, and current density-voltage (J-V) measurements under simulated solar illumination to measure solar cell device performance parameters. By increasing the doping density and electron mobility of our HTLs through stoichiometry tuning, we achieved a clear improvement in device performance, from a maximum of 9.3% to 10.4% power conversion efficiency. The improvement of the HTL that we demonstrate could have immediate applications in flexible, wearable, building-integrated, and multijunction photovoltaics. Our study also provides insights that can be used to further improve the performance of CQD solar cells, including the necessity of improving transport in the absorbing layer so that it can be made thick enough to prevent photogeneration in the HTL.

2.1.2 SCAPS Calculations of Hole Transport Properties

In order to determine the limiting factors in the performance of CQD solar cells, we undertook a variational computational study of critical parameters associated with the HTL. Specifically, we used SCAPS to study the effects of the HTL doping density and both electron and hole mobility, as well as the band alignment between the absorbing layer and the HTL, on the performance of the CQD solar cell. SCAPS is a one-dimensional solar cell simulator that takes parameters such as carrier mobilities, doping densities, and recombination center densities as inputs and uses the drift-diffusion and Poisson’s equations to calculate J-V characteristics and energy band diagrams.[33] We used materials parameters based on literature values for CQD solar
cells detailed in Table I-I [35–37] and layer thicknesses of 350 nm for the PbS-PbX$_2$ absorbing layer and 60 nm for the PbS-EDT HTL layer, based on our own thickness optimization studies. We varied the doping density in the HTL from $10^{16}$ to $10^{18} cm^{-3}$, the electron mobility from $5 \times 10^{-4}$ to $5 \times 10^{-1} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$, and the hole mobility from $5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $5 \times 10^{-2} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$. These parameter ranges represented reasonable values based on our own results and results from the literature[38–41] for the HTL. The full results for all parameter combinations are detailed in Figure I-1.

Figure 2-1 shows the simulation results for the doping density, hole mobility, and electron mobility of the HTL. We note that, in general, the device PCE increases with an increase in doping density, electron mobility, and hole mobility of the HTL. In Figure 2-1a,b, the PCE and fill factor (FF) are plotted as a function of HTL hole mobility for different values of the HTL doping density, with the electron mobility set to our experimental value of $5 \times 10^{-3} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$. The observed increases in PCE with both increasing hole mobility and doping density can largely be attributed to increases in device FF, which generally tracks with the expected decrease in resistivity associated with higher doping densities. This effect saturates, however, beyond a hole mobility of approximately $5 \times 10^{-4} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$; increasing the hole mobility in the HTL beyond this value has a minimal impact on the performance of the solar cell for all values of the doping density. We note that most currently used HTLs in CQD solar cells are reported to have hole mobilities greater than $5 \times 10^{-4} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$, as will be discussed in the context of our experimental results below.

More surprisingly, we also observe an increase in PCE with increasing electron mobility of the HTL from $5 \times 10^{-4}$ to $5 \times 10^{-1} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$, as seen in Figure 2-1d, with the hole mobility set to our experimental value of $5 \times 10^{-2} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$. This can be mostly attributed to an increase in the short-circuit current ($J_{SC}$), as seen in Figure 2-1e. We also observe that when the electron mobility becomes greater than the hole mobility used in the simulations ($5 \times 10^{-1} cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$), the performance of the solar
cell begins to decline.

The improved device performance associated with increasing electron mobility in the HTL is an unexpected result. The electron mobility in the HTL should have a negligible impact on device performance under ideal circumstances, given that the function of the HTL is to block electrons and transport holes only. We hypothesize that this could mean that significant photogeneration happens in the HTL such that this layer also plays a non-negligible role in electron transport. Similar photogeneration observations have previously been attributed to optical interference effects at the top contact interface.[42]

We tested this hypothesis by running a series of simulations in which we varied the thickness of the PbS-PbX$_2$ absorbing layer to see if there was a point at which the electron mobility of the HTL no longer played a significant role in device performance. The results are shown in Figure 2-1f. We varied the electron mobility in the HTL from $5 \times 10^{-4}$ to $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and the absorbing layer thickness from 300 to 900 nm. At our experimental value of the absorbing layer thickness, 350 nm, increasing the electron mobility in the HTL has a strong positive effect on PCE. However, as the absorbing layer thickness increases, the impact of electron mobility decreases and becomes negligible at absorbing layer thicknesses of larger than approximately 500 nm, where the device performances peaks. We further confirmed our hypothesis that significant photogeneration is predicted to occur in the HTL by using transfer-matrix method calculations[43] to quantify the spatial electric field profile in our device. We found that 10.2% of the optical total absorption occurs in the HTL, as can be seen in Figure I-3. This roughly matches with the predicted improvement in $J_{SC}$ due to improvements in electron mobility in the HTL (Figure 2-1e).

We also performed band structure simulations to determine the optimal alignment between the absorbing layer and the HTL. We varied the valence band edge energy difference between the HTL and the absorbing layer from zero to 0.4 eV, with the HTL
valence band edge assumed to be shallower than the absorbing layer edge. The results are shown in Figure 1-2. We found that for the standard (PbS-EDT) HTL (doping density of $2.54 \times 10^{17} \text{cm}^{-3}$, hole mobility of $5.58 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, and electron mobility of $1.05 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), the optimal valence band energy difference is approximately $0.15\text{eV}$. For an optimized HTL (doping density of $1.10 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$, hole mobility of $5.23 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, and electron mobility of $1.65 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$), the optimal valence band energy difference is approximately $0.23\text{eV}$. Full SCAPS results for the band structures of different device configurations are shown in Figure 2-4b.

To summarize the results of our SCAPS simulations, we found that, given the current properties of the absorbing layer, a good HTL needs a doping density of at least $10^{18}\text{cm}^{-3}$, an electron mobility greater than $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, a hole mobility greater than $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, and a valence band edge difference between the absorbing layer and the HTL of approximately $0.23\text{eV}$. We therefore sought to design and fabricate a new material that was compatible with standard CQD solar cell fabrication methods while fulfilling the above requirements. This led us to explore elemental sulfur infusion of the PbS-EDT materials, which has been previously shown to improve carrier mobilities and increase p-type doping density in CQD field effect transistors.[44] We hypothesized that introducing elemental sulfur into the PbS-EDT materials would allow us to control the stoichiometry of the CQDs that comprise the HTL, reducing the density of surface traps that limit carrier mobilities while fine-tuning the band edge energies and Fermi level.

2.1.3 Experimental Methods and Results

We used electron beam evaporation to infuse elemental sulfur into the standard PbS-EDT HTL, keeping all other device fabrication procedures the same as in previous reports[24, 45, 46] and as described in Experimental Methods in Appendix I. The
The experimental procedure is illustrated schematically in Figure 2-2a. We tested effective sulfur thicknesses of 1, 3, 6, 9, and 15 Å, as monitored using the built-in quartz crystal oscillator in our electron beam evaporator. We estimate that an effective evaporation thickness of 15 Å of sulfur corresponds to roughly 30 atoms of sulfur per nanocrystal on average, using the following assumptions: The HTL CQD films have a density of roughly $1.8 \times 10^{14}$ nanocrystals/cm$^2$, assuming a face-centered cubic packing profile derived from TEM images, and a layer thickness of approximately 60 nm; the density of the cubic close-packed structure of sulfur yields about $3.13 \times 10^{22}$ atoms/cm$^3$.

![Figure 2-2](image)

**Figure 2-2.** (a) Schematic of the sulfur-infused hole transport layer fabrication process. A PbS CQD film with oleic acid ligands (upper left) undergoes a solid-state exchange to EDT ligands (upper right). The film is then infused with elemental sulfur via electron beam evaporation (lower right), resulting in a stoichiometry-tuned film (lower left). (b) A truncated cross-sectional schematic of a single PbS CQD with EDT ligands only (top) and after sulfur infusion (bottom). Empty Pb-bonding sites are partially filled with sulfur atoms, as indicated by the red outlines.

We measured current-density-voltage characteristics under simulated AM1.5G solar illumination of our solar cells with different HTLs to extract the relevant solar cell device parameters. From the results shown in Table 2-I, we see that there is a gradual increase in CQD solar cell PCE that plateaus with an increasing amount of sulfur, up to 15 Å. Comparing the best performing sulfur-infused solar cell, with 15 Å...
of sulfur, to our control device with no sulfur infusion, we see an absolute increase
of 1% in the PCE, from 9.32% to 10.35%, a relative increase of 11% (Figure 2-3a),
and an absolute average increase of 1.5% from 7.51% to 9.00%. Consistent with our
SCAPS simulation results, the increase in the PCE is due to increases in both the
fill factor and the short-circuit current, while the open-circuit voltage is relatively
unaffected by sulfur-infusion of the HTL.

Figure 2-3. (a) Current density-voltage plots of the best performing control HTL
device (purple) and a 15Å sulfur HTL device (green). (b) Cap-V measurement results of a
control HTL device (purple) and a 15Å sulfur HTL device (green) and the fit lines used to extract
the doping densities (dashed). (c) Current-voltage log plot of an electron-transport SCLC
structure control HTL device (purple) and a 15Å sulfur HTL device (green), with the SCLC
region, used to calculate the electron mobility, indicated by dashed lines. (d) Average
doping density in the HTL extracted from Cap-V measurements as a function of HTL
sulfur infusion amount. (e) Hole mobility (red) and electron mobility (black) extracted
from SCLC measurements as a function of HTL sulfur infusion amount.

To verify that the increase in PCE was primarily a result of an increase in the
doping density and electron mobility of the HTL, we used Cap-V measurements and
SCLC measurements, respectively, to characterize our different HTLs. Using Cap-V
measurements, we extracted an average doping density of \(2.5 \times 10^{17} cm^{-3}\) for the
PbS-EDT HTL in our control devices without sulfur addition and an average doping
density of $1.1 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$ for the 15Å sulfur-infused HTL. We found that there was a notable increase in the doping density as the amount of sulfur in the HTL was increased from 3 to 6Å (Figure 2-3b,d), which correlated with a similar trend in the PCE. The largest PCE increase, from 9.2% to 9.8% for the best performing solar cells of each type and from 7.8% to 8.7% for the average of each type of cell, occurred as the amount of sulfur in the HTL was increased from 3 to 6Å.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sulfur</th>
<th>PCE [%], best (average)</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ [V], best (average)</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ [mA cm$^{-2}$], best (average)</th>
<th>fill factor, best (average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>9.32 (7.51 ± 0.98)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.56 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>25.61 (21.32 ± 2.50)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.61 ± 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Å</td>
<td>9.16 (7.72 ± 0.95)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.57 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>24.97 (21.63 ± 2.65)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.61 ± 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Å</td>
<td>9.22 (7.79 ± 1.2)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.57 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>23.79 (21.22 ± 2.71)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.61 ± 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Å</td>
<td>9.80 (8.67 ± 0.39)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.57 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>25.72 (23.84 ± 1.00)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.62 ± 0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Å</td>
<td>9.97 (9.04 ± 0.74)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.58 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>26.21 (24.07 ± 1.84)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.63 ± 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Å</td>
<td>10.35 (9.00 ± 0.62)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.57 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>26.51 (24.17 ± 1.47)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.63 ± 0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Measured over a total of 246 solar cells; 30 – 59 for each row.

Table 2-I. Summary of CQD Solar Cell Performance $^1$ with sulfur infused hole transport layers

We performed ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements (Figure I-4) to determine the location of the valence band edges of the HTL and absorbing layer materials and to verify any band structure shifts from the sulfur-infusion-induced modification of the CQD surface structure, as illustrated in Figure 2-2b. We found that the control PbS-EDT HTL had a valence band edge that was approximately $0.07 eV$ shallower than that of the absorbing CQD layer. The difference for the 15 Å sulfur-infused HTL and the absorbing CQD layer increased to about $0.12 eV$ (Figure
We note that both of these energy differences are approximately 0.1 eV smaller than the ideal band alignment predicted by our SCAPS simulations for their relative doping densities (Figure 1-2).

Figure 2-4. (a) Band diagram for a PbS CQD solar cell, with the HTL layer alternatives highlighted by the orange box. Band edge positions are taken from the literature (FTO,[47] ZnO[37], and Au[48]) and extracted from UPS and UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometric absorption measurements (PbS-PbX\(_2\), PbS-EDT, and PbS-EDT+S). (b) SCAPS simulated band diagrams at equilibrium for different solar cell devices: the full structure shown in Figure 2-1c with a sulfur-infused HTL (light green), the full structure with a nonsulfur-infused HTL (dark green), a device with an absorbing layer but no HTL (blue), and a device with a nonsulfur-infused HTL but no absorbing layer (orange). The Fermi level is indicated by the black dashed line, and regions corresponding to the different layers of the solar cell are indicated.

We used space-charge-limited current (SCLC) measurements to measure the carrier mobilities in our HTL[49, 50] (Figure 2-3c,e). The SCLC method uses a device architecture with the semiconductor material of interest inserted between one charge injection contact and one injection-blocking electrode to ensure that current in the device is due to only one carrier type.[38, 41] Dark current-voltage curves are measured, and carrier mobility is extracted by fitting the SCLC region, where there is a quadratic relationship between the applied current and voltage.

In this regime, charges tend to accumulate in the region between the electrodes, and the electric field, and thus the current, is dependent on only the carrier mobility, which
can be extracted using the Mott-Gurney Law. For hole mobility measurements, we used an ITO bottom contact, a poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) layer, the semiconducting test layer (either the HTL or absorbing PbS CQD layer), and a Au top contact. The hole mobility results for a range of sulfur infusion conditions are shown in Figure 2-3e. We found that sulfur infusion had a minimal impact on hole mobility, with all results on the order of $10^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, 2 orders of magnitude greater than the threshold required for PCE saturation of $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, which was calculated assuming the control experimental values of the electron mobility and doping density.

For electron mobility measurements, our device architecture consisted of a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) bottom contact, a ZnO anode, the semiconducting test layer (either the HTL or absorbing PbS CQD layer), and a Au top contact. We measured a gradual increase in the electron mobility as the amount of sulfur in the HTL was increased, totaling an order of magnitude increase from an average of $1.05 \times 10^{-3} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ to $1.65 \times 10^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, for the control HTL device with no sulfur and the device with 15Å of sulfur in the HTL, respectively. We posit that the increase in effective electron mobility could arise from sulfur passivation of the surface trap states of the EDT-ligated CQDs, as has been previously observed.[25, 51, 52]

We also performed external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements (Figure 1-5), which confirmed our experimental results, even after several months of device aging. There is an overall improvement in the current across all wavelengths with the addition of sulfur to the HTL, which is likely a result of the higher doping density in the HTL and consequent larger charge-extracting drift field. There is an additional current enhancement at the exciton peak wavelength, which could be attributed to better collection of longer-wavelength photons, which are more likely to be absorbed near the back of the device, because of the improved HTL electron mobility.

Our experimental results confirmed the predictions from the SCAPS simulations.
The primary effect of the sulfur infusion was to increase the doping density and electron mobility in the HTL compared to the control PbS-EDT HTL. The increase in both of these properties correlated with an increase in solar cell PCE, primarily through increases in the short-circuit current and fill factor, as expected.

### 2.1.4 Conclusions and Future Outlook

To summarize, we set out to understand the requirements of a good HTL for CQD solar cells and to develop a new strategy to implement these requirements. Using 1D SCAPS simulations, we studied the effects of the HTL doping density, carrier mobility, and relative band edge locations on device performance. We found that the hole mobilities in current HTL materials are predicted to be sufficient for high efficiency and are not currently the limiting factor in performance. Instead, we found that increasing the doping density in the HTL by an order of magnitude should have a significant effect on PCE, and counterintuitively, low electron mobility in the HTL was limiting performance because of a significant amount of photogeneration occurring in the HTL. We then developed a new strategy to address these limitations: sulfur infusion of the traditional PbS-EDT HTL to increase the p-type character by shifting the stoichiometry of the film and improving the electron mobility through passivation of surface electron trap states. We demonstrated that our new HTL behaved as predicted, achieving an average absolute increase of 1.5% in the PCE over solar cells with the PbS-EDT HTL.

This work points to new directions for further improvements in CQD solar cell PCE through engineering of both the HTL and CQD absorbing layer properties. Specifically, carrier transport in the CQD absorbing layer should be improved so that this layer can be made thick enough such that significant photogeneration does not need to occur in the HTL.
2.2 New Chalcogenide-Based Hole Transport Materials for Colloidal Quantum Dot Photovoltaics

Two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) are a family of graphene-like materials that exhibit promising electrical and optical properties for electronic and optical devices.[53] The bulk TMD crystals are easily exfoliated into monolayers by breaking the Van der Waals forces between monolayers, which do not possess dangling bonds that would harm device performance.[54] By tuning the composition of the material, the number of layers, and the strain of the crystals, the electronic properties can be modified.[54] Here, we propose and investigate the use of WSe$_2$, a TMD, for use in enhancing or replacing the PbS-EDT hole transport material in colloidal quantum dot solar cells.


2.2.1 Introduction

Lead Sulfide (PbS) colloidal quantum dots (CQDs) are advantageous for photovoltaic (PV) applications due to their size-tunable optical properties, their absorption in the infrared, and their inexpensive nature[3]. Their low-temperature material synthesis and solution-phase processability allow for facile thin-film fabrication techniques in scalable and flexible applications of solar energy technology, such as for building-integrated PV and wearable electronics, enabling new frontiers in the widespread adoption of renewable energy. Current state-of-the-art PbS CQD solar cell architectures, shown in Fig. 2-5a, are comprised of a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) transparent conductive oxide contact, a zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticle-based electron transport layer (ETL),
an absorbing layer of oleic acid-capped PbS CQDs that undergo a solution-phase ligand exchange with PbX₂ (X = Br, I) and ammonium acetate, a hole transport layer (HTL) of oleic acid-capped PbS CQDs that undergo a solid-phase ligand exchange with ethanedithiol (PbS-EDT), and a gold top contact[26].

However, PbS CQD-based solar cells exhibit limitations in efficiency, one source of which originates in the HTL[13]. The function of the HTL is to block electron transport, facilitate hole transport, prevent recombination, and to align the band structure of the absorbing layer with the deep work function metal top contact[13]. The highest efficiency PbS CQD solar cells utilize a thin (approximately 60 nm) PbS-EDT layer as the HTL[31], which exhibits low carrier mobility[12]. New methods of enhancing the transport characteristics of the HTL are thus desired to improve the performance of PbS CQD-based solar cells.

In this work, we use one-dimensional Solar Cell Capacitance Simulations (SCAPS-1D)[33] to determine the impact of the HTL electron mobility and hole mobility on device efficiency and Transfer Matrix Method (TMM) calculations to determine the absorption and generation profile of a solar cell. We then propose using chalcogenide-based materials to enhance the HTL carrier mobility and thus overall solar cell performance. In particular, we deposit a near-monolayer of tungsten diselenide (WSe₂), a 2D transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD), to act as the HTL. We characterized these solar devices with current density-voltage (JV) measurements to obtain solar cell performance metrics. The insights into the limitations of the PbS-EDT HTL and the improvements in the HTL that we demonstrate could be applied to developing new HTL materials or enhancing performance in CQD-based solar cells and other optoelectronic devices.
Figure 2-5. (a) Structure of a state-of-the-art PbS CQD solar cell, with a PbS-EDT HTL. (b) Structure of a PbS CQD solar cell with an HTL that is augmented by a layer of WSe$_2$ between the PbS-EDT and the gold electrode. (c) Structure of a PbS CQD solar cell with an HTL that is augmented by a layer of WSe$_2$ between the absorbing layer and the PbS-EDT.
2.2.2 Experiments and Results

2.2.2.1 SCAPS Simulations

To better understand the factors inhibiting HTL performance and to determine the properties of a material that will improve it, we used SCAPS simulations to specifically look at the impact of electron mobility and hole mobility. For a structure with a 350 nm thick PbS-PbX$_2$ absorbing layer and a 60 nm thick PbS-EDT HTL, determined by empirical thickness optimization experiments, we used material parameters based on values from the literature[35–37]. We varied the electron mobility from $5 \times 10^{-4}$ to $5 \times 10^{-1}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and hole mobility from $5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $5 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, which we determined based on values reported in the literature for PbS-EDT films[13].

Fig. 2-6 shows simulation results for the effect of HTL hole and electron mobility on power conversion efficiency (PCE) of the full solar cell. The electron mobility is kept constant at $5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, for the hole mobility calculations (blue asterisks), and the hole mobility is kept constant at $5 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for the electron mobility calculations (orange crosses). We found that increasing both hole and electron mobility increased efficiency, so we were interested in developing a HTL material that exhibits both higher electron and hole mobility than PbS-EDT.

The increased efficiency due to increased hole mobility was expected, as higher hole mobility should facilitate more effective hole transfer through the HTL. However, the existence of a region where increasing the electron mobility in the HTL resulted in increased PCE was less intuitive, since the HTL typically functions to block electron transfer. Past work has theorized that significant photogeneration can occur in the HTL. This could explain the effect, since mobile carriers generated in the HTL would benefit from effective electron transfer through this layer to be collected as photocurrent[56]. We tested this theory by varying the thickness of the PbS-PbX$_2$ absorbing layer from 300 – 900nm, as well as the HTL electron mobility from $5 \times$
Figure 2-6. SCAPS results for the effect of varying HTL mobility on solar cell efficiency. PCE when varying hole mobility (with constant electron mobility of $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$) is given by the blue asterisks, and PCE when varying electron mobility (with constant hole mobility of $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$) is given by the orange crosses.
$10^{-4}$ to $5 \times 10^{-2}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ in simulations, and seeing if there was a point at which increased electron mobility ceased to improve the PCE. The results in Table 2-II show that the device performance peaks at a PbS-PbX$_2$ thickness of around 500 nm, and that with absorbing layers thicker than 500 nm, increasing the HTL electron mobility ceased to impact overall device PCE. After that point, absorption in the HTL is negligible, and, therefore, high electron mobility does not significantly impact charge transfer. This explains why, for a sufficiently thick absorbing layer, electron mobility increases in the HTL do not impact PCE, which confirms our hypothesis.

**Table 2-II.** SCAPS results for the impact of absorbing layer thickness and HTL electron mobility on solar cell efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absorbing Layer Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>350</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>600</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>800</th>
<th>900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HTL Electron Mobility (cm$^2$/Vs)</td>
<td>5e-4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e-3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e-2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2.2.2 Transfer Matrix Method Calculations

To further confirm that significant photogeneration occurs in the HTL, we performed TMM calculations to quantify the absorption and generation in the standard PbS-EDT HTL solar cell[43]. The results in Fig. 2-7 demonstrate that 10.2% of overall total optical absorption occurs in the HTL, with larger percentages at longer wavelengths. This shows that significant photogeneration happens in the HTL, further confirming the results of our SCAPS simulations.

Because the fraction of light absorbed in the HTL contributes significantly to the overall power generation in the cell, we sought to enhance the performance of the PbS-EDT layer by increasing its hole and electron mobilities.
Figure 2-7. Transfer Matrix Method calculations showing the wavelength-dependent absorption by the PbS-EDT HTL as a fraction of total device absorbance.
2.2.2.3 Energy Band Alignment

In addition to carrier mobility, the energy band structure of the HTL plays an important role in device performance. We performed ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements to determine the valence band edge locations of the absorbing layer and HTL, as well as ultraviolet-visible-near-infrared (UV-Vis-NIR) spectrophotometric measurements to determine the bandgap of each layer. We found that the valence band edge for the PbS-EDT HTL is approximately 0.07 eV shallower than that of the absorbing layer. Our SCAPS simulations indicated that the optimal valence band edge for the HTL should be 0.23 eV shallower than that of the absorbing layer [26]. Based on this, we sought a suitable material with higher hole mobility, electron mobility, p-type character and optimal band alignment.

Monolayer WSe$_2$ was identified as a promising candidate, with reported hole mobility of 250 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, electron mobility of 140 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$[54], p-type doping[53], and similar band alignment to PbS-EDT, with valence band edges around -5.28 eV for PbS-EDT and around -5.3 eV for WSe$_2$[57].

2.2.2.4 Characterization

We fabricated solar cells with the structure described in Section 2.2.1 and Fig. 2-5a. To introduce the WSe$_2$ into the structure, we used spin-casting to deposit a thin film of WSe$_2$ from the solution-phase. The solution consists of suspended nanoflakes ranging from approximately one to ten atomic layers in thickness with ethanol as the solvent, which were ultrasonically exfoliated as shown in Fig. 2-8. We fabricated a standard structure with PbS-EDT as the HTL, a structure with a layer of WSe$_2$ between the absorbing layer and the PbS-EDT layer (Fig. 2-5c.), and a structure with a layer of WSe$_2$ between the PbS-EDT and Au layer (Fig. 2-5b.). Additionally, we fabricated structures with the same spin deposition process as the WSe$_2$ solutions, replacing the WSe$_2$ solution with pure ethanol, to determine if any impacts to performance result
from the ethanol or from the WSe$_2$.

![Figure 2-8. Process of ultrasonically exfoliating bulk WSe$_2$ into a mono to few-layer solution in ethanol. Reprinted with permission from Ref. [58].](image)

We then characterized these devices using JV measurements under simulated solar illumination to determine solar cell efficiency and related figures of merit. We obtained the results in Table 2-III, with 16 and 47 devices tested per architecture. When comparing the WSe$_2$ devices to their pure ethanol counterparts, we notice an improvement in best device performance of the devices containing WSe$_2$, meaning that the presence of ethanol does not account for the entire performance increase. We also see that both structures containing WSe$_2$ perform better than the control in the best device, demonstrating that augmenting the PbS-EDT with a WSe$_2$ layer improves solar cell performance, most noticeably through improvements in the fill factor. The slightly higher overall performance of the PbS-EDT/WSe$_2$ structure may be explained by better band alignment with the absorbing layer.

### 2.2.3 Conclusion

We performed SCAPS-1D simulations to identify the performance-limiting factors in CQD solar cell HTLs. We discovered that, paradoxically, increasing the electron mobility in the HTL correspondingly increased the efficiency of the overall solar cell, even though the HTL theoretically serves primarily to block electrons. We hypothesized that this could mean that significant photogeneration occurs in the HTL,
Table 2-III. Summary of experimental CQD solar cell performance with and without WSe$_2$ in the HTL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>PCE [%]</th>
<th>Voc [V]</th>
<th>Jsc [mA/cm$^2$]</th>
<th>FF [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Best (Average)</td>
<td>Best (Average)</td>
<td>Best (Average)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS-EDT</td>
<td>8.37 (7.3±0.56)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.58)</td>
<td>28.24 (24.97±1.61)</td>
<td>51.0 (50.0±1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS-EDT/Ethanol</td>
<td>9.42 (8.08±1.31)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.53±0.05)</td>
<td>29.15 (26.53±1.91)</td>
<td>59.0 (57.0±3.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbS-EDT/WSe$_2$</td>
<td>9.72 (7.95±1.37)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.56±0.06)</td>
<td>27.84 (25.76±2.03)</td>
<td>60.0 (54.0±5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol/PbS-EDT</td>
<td>8.69 (7.69±1.31)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.53±0.03)</td>
<td>29.18 (26.32±1.80)</td>
<td>55.0 (55.0±0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSe$_2$/PbS-EDT</td>
<td>9.20 (7.92±0.98)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.55±0.02)</td>
<td>29.67 (26.31±1.81)</td>
<td>60.0 (54.3±4.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

so we investigated the influence of increasing the absorbing layer thickness to reduce the amount of HTL photogeneration. We found that increasing the HTL electron mobility ceases to improve the overall PCE when the absorbing layer thickness is greater than 500 nm. We confirmed these results with TMM calculations, which determined that approximately ten percent of the total device photogeneration occurs in the HTL, motivating the need for better hole and electron mobilities in the HTL.

We hypothesized that augmenting the PbS-EDT HTL with a thin WSe$_2$ layer could improve hole and electron mobility while also having similarly compatible band alignment, thereby increasing solar efficiency. We found that our strategy increases the PCE of PbS CQD-based solar cells by 1.35% in the best device. Future work will involve developing HTLs consisting entirely of WSe$_2$ which should further improve PbS CQD solar cell PCEs.
Chapter 3

Conclusions

This thesis focuses on engineering chalcogenide-based hole transport materials for colloidal quantum dot solar cells. Through simulations, experimentation, and characterization techniques, we have discovered factors influencing bottlenecks in CQD solar cell efficiency, and demonstrated promising methods for designing new materials to combat such issues, which have been shown to improve figures of merit for solar cell performance. The two specific approaches described here, stoichiometric tuning of the traditional HTL film via elemental sulfur infusion, and thin film deposition of a tungsten diselenide nanoflake solution, both show promise. Work still remains before PbS-CQD based solar cells to have the necessary efficiency and longevity to be developed into commercially viable solar panels. However, with continued research on each of these topics, PbS-CQDs remain a highly promising and viable material for furthering the solar energy revolution and reducing our society’s dependence on non-renewable energy sources.
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Appendix I

Experimental Details and Supplementary Figures


A Experimental Details

A.1 PbS CQD Synthesis

The PbS CQDs were synthesized using previously reported methods.[24] Lead(II) oxide (0.45g), 1-octadecene (3mL), and oleic acid (1.5mL) were mixed in a three-neck flask and degassed under vacuum for 16 hours at 95°C using a Schlenk line. After the degassing and the formation of the lead oleate complex, the solution became clear, and the environment was purged with nitrogen gas. 15mL of 1-octadecene (ODE) was added and the temperature was set to 120°C. When the solution reached the desired temperature, 210mL of bis(trimethylsilyl)sulfide ((TMS)_2S) dissolved in 10mL of ODE was quickly injected into the lead oleate solution, which turned dark brown due to the PbS nanocrystal nucleation. The heating source was then removed, and the solution was allowed to cool to 36°C. After 36°C was reached, 20mL of acetone
was added, and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 2 minutes. An additional
30 mL of acetone was added, and the solution was centrifuged at 3500 RPM for 5 mins
to isolate the CQDs. The isolated CQDs were dispersed in toluene, and the isolation
procedure was performed two more times. The CQD in toluene solution was then
washed in a nitrogen glovebox using methanol, and after drying, the oleic-acid capped
PbS CQDs were dispersed in octane to form a 50 mg/mL solution.

A.2 Device Fabrication and Sulfur Infusion

Our solar cell device design was based on previous demonstrations. [45] Three layers
of ZnO nanoparticles dispersed in chloroform and methanol in a 1 : 1 ratio were
spin-cast onto a FTO/glass substrate to form an approximately 200 nm thick layer. [46]
The absorbing PbS CQD film (350 nm in thickness) with PbX$_2$ (X = Br, I) surface
passivation [24] was formed by exchanging 4 mL of 50 mg/mL oleic acid-capped PbS
CQDs in octane with a precursor solution of lead halides (lead iodide and lead
bromide) and ammonium acetate in 20 mL dimethylformamide (DMF). [59] After
washing with toluene and octane, the remaining PbX$_2$-capped CQD solid powder was
dissolved in a hybrid-amine solution consisting of n-butylamine (BTA), amylamine,
and hexylamine (volume ratio 10 : 3 : 2) at a concentration of 300 mg/mL. The
resulting ink was spin-cast and post-annealed at 70°C for 10 minutes in a nitrogen
rich environment. [46] Two layers of PbS CQDs with ethanedithiol (EDT) ligands,
totaling 60 nm, made up the control hole-extracting p-type layer. For each layer,
oleic-acid capped PbS CQDs dispersed in octane (50 mg/mL) were spin-cast and
soaked in a 1,2-Ethanedithiol solution (0.01 vol% in acetonitrile) for 15 seconds for
the ligand exchange, and then washed 3 times with acetonitrile. Lastly, a 100 nm
gold contact was deposited via electron beam evaporation through a shadow mask
to define the device active area. To tune the stoichiometry of the hole transport
layer, an electron beam evaporator was used to evaporate varying thicknesses of
elemental sulfur, including 1Å, 3Å, 6Å, 9Å, and 15Å, directly onto the PbS-EDT CQD layer before top contact evaporation. For Cap-V and SCLC electron mobility measurements, a device architecture of FTO/ZnO/PbS-CQDs/Au was used, where the PbS-CQD layer was either PbX$_2$ (X = Br, I) for absorbing layer only devices, or PbS-EDT with and without additional sulfur for the HTL only devices. For SCLC hole mobility measurements, a device architecture of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PbS-CQDs/Au was used. Using glass substrates with pre-deposited indium tin oxide (ITO), 3 layers of PEDOT:PSS dispersed in IPA at a 1 : 5 ratio were spin-cast at 2000 rpm for 30 seconds. The remaining layers were prepared as described above.

A.3 Current Density-Voltage Characterization

The current density-voltage (J-V) characteristics of the CQD solar cells were measured through a shadow mask the size of the device area, under simulated AM1.5 illumination set to 100mW/cm$^2$ using a calibrated silicon solar cell. A Keithley 2400 SourceMeter was used to source voltage and measure current.

A.4 Space-Charge-Limited Current (SCLC) Measurements

SCLC measurements of current-voltage in dark conditions under reverse bias were used to extract charge carrier mobilities, using the device configurations described above. J-V plots were fit using the Mott-Gurney Law, equation I.1, where $J$ is the current density, $\epsilon_0$ is the free-space permittivity, $\epsilon$ is the dielectric constant of the PbS-CQD film (21.2, from [60]), $V$ is the applied voltage, $L$ is the thickness of the layer and $\mu$ is the carrier mobility.

$$J = \frac{9}{8}\epsilon_0\epsilon\mu\frac{V^2}{L^3}$$  
(I.1)
A.5 Capacitance-Voltage (Cap-V) Measurements

Using a Keithley 590 C-V analyzer, we performed capacitance-voltage measurements at 100kHz under reverse bias in dark conditions. To extract doping densities in the HTL and absorbing layer, we used FTO/ZnO/HTL/Au and FTO/ZnO/PbX₂ (X = Br, I)/Au device configurations, respectively. We produced Mott-Schottky plots ($1/C^2$ vs. $V$) and fit the linear region of the plots to extract doping densities using equation I.2, where $C$ is the capacitance, $q$ is the elementary charge, and $N_D$ is the doping density.

$$C^{-2} = \frac{2}{qA^2\epsilon N_D} V \quad (I.2)$$

A.6 Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy (UPS)

To study the valence bands, UPS spectra were taken under ultra-high vacuum with a Kratos X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer - Axis Ultra DLD using the He I line (21.2eV) of a helium lamp. The valence band edge energy was calculated by performing a Fermi edge calibration with a clean Au standard and a linear regression on the valence band maximum. We used an Agilent Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer with an integrating sphere insert to measure absorbance spectra of the films to obtain the bandgap energies.
### Supplementary Figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
<th>PbS–PbX&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>HTM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (nm)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandgap (eV)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Affinity (eV)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric Permittivity (relative)</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB/VB Effective Density of States (1/cm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>5E19</td>
<td>1E19</td>
<td>1E19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Thermal Velocity (cm/s)</td>
<td>1E7</td>
<td>7E3</td>
<td>7E3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole Thermal Velocity (cm/s)</td>
<td>1E7</td>
<td>7E3</td>
<td>1E3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron Mobility (cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;/Vs)</td>
<td>5E-2</td>
<td>1E-3</td>
<td>5E-4 to 5E-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole Mobility (cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;/Vs)</td>
<td>5E-2</td>
<td>1E-1</td>
<td>5E-5 to 5E-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;donor&lt;/sub&gt; (1/cm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>2E18</td>
<td>1E15</td>
<td>1E14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;acceptor&lt;/sub&gt; (1/cm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1E15</td>
<td>1E16 to 1E18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1-I.* SCAPS simulation parameters, measured and from the literature for sulfur infused hole transport layers.[35, 36]
Figure I-1. Full SCAPS simulation results for CQD solar cell performance as a function of electron mobility of the hole transport layer (HTL). The doping density of the HTL is indicated in the grey boxes the right of each plot. Plot symbol type and color denote hole mobility of the HTL as follows: $5E^{-5} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ = red circles, $5E^{-4} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ = green triangles, $5E^{-3} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ = blue squares, $5E^{-2} \text{cm}^2\text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ = purple crosses. a) Power conversion efficiency, b) Fill factor, c) Open-circuit voltage, d) Short-circuit current density.
Figure I-2. Full SCAPS simulation results for CQD solar cell performance as a function of HTL valence band edge energy relative to the CQD film absorbing layer valence band edge energy. Results are calculated for carrier mobilities and doping densities corresponding to those measured for the PbS-EDT HTL materials (red circles) and to those measured for the PbS-EDT+S HTL materials (blue triangles). a) Power conversion efficiency, b) Fill factor, c) Short-circuit current density, d) Open-circuit voltage.
Figure I-3. Transfer-matrix method calculation results for a) percent absorption occurring in each CQD solar cell device layer as a function of wavelength, b) spatial electric field intensity profile, normalized to the excitation source intensity, for the vertical direction (position) in the device as a function of wavelength with individual layers labeled, c) photogeneration probability in the two CQD-based layers as a function of wavelength, and d) total generation rate in the two CQD-based layers.
Figure I-4. UPS spectra (blue) with linear fit lines used to extract the valence band edge energies of a) the PbS-PbX₂ CQD film absorbing layer, b) the PbS-EDT control HTL, and c) the PbS-EDT+S HTL with 15Å of sulfur. d) UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectrum of the film-phase PbS-PbX₂ (X = Br, I) absorbing layer.
Figure I-5. External quantum efficiency for a CQD solar cell with a sulfur-infused HTL (15Å of sulfur, blue) and a control CQD solar cell (red). Note that these measurements were taken after several months of device aging, and the devices demonstrate slightly lower overall currents than several-day-old devices. However, we expect the spectral trends to be consistent.
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